

“ STRESSES , SHOCKS, COPING BY total livestock units”

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	206	117
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.1%	76.0%
	Yes	Count	88	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.9%	24.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	25	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	70.0%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	27
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	84.4%
	Yes	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	15.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	36	439
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%	73.3%
	Yes	Count	8	160
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%	26.7%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	239	105
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	68.2%
	Yes	Count	55	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.7%	31.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	11	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	25.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	26	443
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	74.0%
	Yes	Count	18	156
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	26.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	275	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.5%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	19	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.5%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	32	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	85.0%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	15.0%
Total		Count	32	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	19	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	96.9%
	Yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	3.1%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	42	561
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.5%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	2	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.5%	6.3%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	285	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	95.0%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	5.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	575
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	96.0%
	Yes	Count	3	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	4.0%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	278	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.6%	89.0%
	Yes	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.4%	11.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	554
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	92.5%
	Yes	Count	3	45
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	7.5%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	251	112
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	85.4%	72.7%
	Yes	Count	43	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	14.6%	27.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	65.0%
	Yes	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	35.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	7	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	25.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	31	468
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.5%	78.1%
	Yes	Count	13	131
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.5%	21.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	229	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.9%	79.2%
	Yes	Count	65	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.1%	20.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	24	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	8	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	40.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	90.6%
	Yes	Count	7	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	9.4%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	38	470
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	86.4%	78.5%
	Yes	Count	6	129
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	13.6%	21.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	263	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.5%	89.6%
	Yes	Count	31	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.5%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	43	542
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.7%	90.5%
	Yes	Count	1	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.3%	9.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	282	143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%	7.1%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	567
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	94.7%
	Yes	Count	3	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	5.3%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	261	135
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.8%	87.7%
	Yes	Count	33	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.2%	12.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	35	522
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.1%
	Yes	Count	9	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.9%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	293	128
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.7%	83.1%
	Yes	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.3%	16.9%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	20	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	12	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	17	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	6	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	527
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.2%	88.0%
	Yes	Count	14	72
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.8%	12.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	102	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.7%	20.8%
	Yes	Count	192	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.3%	79.2%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	13	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.6%	30.0%
	Yes	Count	19	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.4%	70.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	2	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.7%	34.4%
	Yes	Count	21	21
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.3%	65.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	185
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%	30.9%
	Yes	Count	25	414
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%	69.1%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	257	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.4%	89.6%
	yes	Count	37	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.6%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	30	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	70.0%
	yes	Count	2	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Death of a family member	no	Count	40	524
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%	87.5%
	yes	Count	4	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%	12.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	206	117	25	14
	Yes	88	37	7	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	14	27	36	439
	Yes	9	5	8	160
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	239	105	21	15
	Yes	55	49	11	5
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	14	23	26	443
	Yes	9	9	18	156
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	275	145	32	17
	Yes	19	9	0	3
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	19	31	42	561
	Yes	4	1	2	38
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	285	145	30	19
	Yes	9	9	2	1
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	23	32	41	575
	Yes	0	0	3	24
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	278	137	28	18
	Yes	16	17	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	41	554
	Yes	1	2	3	45
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	251	112	21	13
	Yes	43	42	11	7
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	16	24	31	468
	Yes	7	8	13	131
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	229	122	24	12
	Yes	65	32	8	8
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	16	29	38	470
	Yes	7	3	6	129
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	263	138	30	16
	Yes	31	16	2	4
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	43	542
	Yes	1	2	1	57
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

Bar Chart



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	282	143	30	18
	Yes	12	11	2	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	23	30	41	567
	Yes	0	2	3	32
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	261	135	28	18
	Yes	33	19	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	19	26	35	522
	Yes	4	6	9	77
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.



Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	293	128	20	16
	Yes	1	26	12	4
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	17	23	30	527
	Yes	6	9	14	72
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	102	32	13	6
	Yes	192	122	19	14
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	2	11	19	185
	Yes	21	21	25	414
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.



Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	257	138	30	14
	yes	37	16	2	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	19	26	40	524
	yes	4	6	4	75
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

Bar Chart



“ Nbr OCCURRENCE STRESSES AND SHOCKS BY total livestock un

Crosstabs

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	151	25.2%	448	74.8%	599	100.0%
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	135	22.5%	464	77.5%	599	100.0%
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	38	6.3%	561	93.7%	599	100.0%
How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	25	4.2%	574	95.8%	599	100.0%
How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	45	7.5%	554	92.5%	599	100.0%
How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	117	19.5%	482	80.5%	599	100.0%
How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	123	20.5%	476	79.5%	599	100.0%
How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	56	9.3%	543	90.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	32	5.3%	567	94.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	66	11.0%	533	89.0%	599	100.0%
How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	67	11.2%	532	88.8%	599	100.0%

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	342	57.1%	257	42.9%	599	100.0%
How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit	68	11.4%	531	88.6%	599	100.0%

How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	1	0	0
	1	48	14	6	3
	2	16	3	1	0
	3	9	10	0	2
	4	3	6	0	0
	5	2	1	0	0
	6	2	0	0	0
	7	1	0	0	0
	12	1	0	0	0
Total		82	35	7	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	0	1	2
	1	3	1	4	79
	2	2	0	3	25
	3	3	1	1	26
	4	0	1	0	10
	5	0	0	0	3
	6	1	0	0	3
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	2
Total		9	4	9	151

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	62.434 ^a	48	.079
Likelihood Ratio	49.183	48	.426
Linear-by-Linear Association	.612	1	.434
N of Valid Cases	151		

a. 56 cells (88.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	3	0	0	0
	1	21	25	3	1
	2	8	9	3	0
	3	11	6	2	1
	4	2	4	0	1
	5	2	1	1	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		47	47	9	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	0	0	1	4
	1	1	4	7	62
	2	4	1	6	31
	3	1	0	1	22
	4	0	1	0	8
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	2
Total		7	6	16	135

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.139 ^a	42	.422
Likelihood Ratio	41.311	42	.501
Linear-by-Linear Association	.250	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	135		

a. 49 cells (87.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	15	5	0	4
	2	0	1	1	0
	3	1	2	1	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	9	0	1	0	0
Total		18	9	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	1	3
	1	1	2	27
	2	0	0	2
	3	0	0	4
	6	0	0	1
	9	0	0	1
Total		2	3	38

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.416 ^a	25	.209
Likelihood Ratio	25.168	25	.453
Linear-by-Linear Association	.950	1	.330
N of Valid Cases	38		

a. 34 cells (94.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did flood happen ?	0	2	0	0	0
	1	7	8	2	1
	2	0	1	0	0
Total		9	9	2	1

Crosstab

Count


		Category of...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did flood happen ?	0	1	3
	1	3	21
	2	0	1
Total		4	25

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.762 ^a	8	.783
Likelihood Ratio	6.170	8	.628
Linear-by-Linear Association	.191	1	.662
N of Valid Cases	25		

a. 13 cells (86.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.



How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	14	13	4	2
	2	0	2	0	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	11	1	0	0	0
Total		16	17	4	2

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	0	1	0	2
	1	1	2	2	38
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	1
Total		1	3	2	45

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.823 ^a	24	.894
Likelihood Ratio	15.082	24	.918
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.063	1	.302
N of Valid Cases	45		

a. 33 cells (94.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did drought happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	27	30	10	6
	2	2	4	0	1
	3	3	2	0	0
	4	1	0	0	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	8	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
	20	0	0	0	0
Total		36	39	10	7

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did drought happen ?	0	0	1	1	5
	1	6	6	8	93
	2	0	0	0	7
	3	0	1	0	6
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	1
	8	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	1	2
	20	0	0	1	1
Total		6	8	11	117

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.709 ^a	48	.955
Likelihood Ratio	30.956	48	.973
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.470	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	117		

a. 57 cells (90.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did illness happen ?	0	3	2	0	0
	1	32	14	4	5
	2	5	5	0	1
	3	1	2	1	0
	4	5	3	0	0
	5	1	1	0	0
	6	1	2	1	0
	12	10	5	2	0
Total		58	34	8	6

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did illness happen ?	0	0	0	1	6
	1	2	1	5	63
	2	1	0	0	12
	3	0	0	0	4
	4	1	0	1	10
	5	1	0	0	3
	6	0	0	0	4
	12	2	2	0	21
Total		7	3	7	123

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.468 ^a	42	.883
Likelihood Ratio	33.277	42	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.938
N of Valid Cases	123		

a. 51 cells (91.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	25	12	2	3
	2	1	1	0	0
	3	2	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	0
	6	1	1	0	0
Total		30	17	2	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	46
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	3
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	2
Total		1	2	1	56

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.253 ^a	30	1.000
Likelihood Ratio	6.648	30	1.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.356	1	.551
N of Valid Cases	56		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total live stock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	1	0	0	2
	1	6	4	1	1
	2	1	3	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	1
	6	1	0	1	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0
	12	2	1	0	0
Total		12	10	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	0	0	3
	1	1	0	13
	2	0	0	4
	3	0	0	1
	4	0	0	2
	6	0	0	2
	8	0	0	1
	10	0	1	1
	12	0	2	5
Total		1	3	32

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.826 ^a	40	.243
Likelihood Ratio	37.154	40	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.174	1	.075
N of Valid Cases	32		

a. 54 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	17	10	3	2
	2	5	3	1	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	4	1	1	0	0
	5	1	0	0	0
	6	0	0	0	0
Total		25	17	4	2

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	4	5	42
	2	2	0	1	12
	3	1	1	1	5
	4	0	0	0	2
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	2	2
Total		4	5	9	66

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.114 ^a	36	.823
Likelihood Ratio	27.083	36	.858
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.200	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	66		

a. 46 cells (93.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	1	13	6	1
	2	0	3	2	1
	3	0	3	1	0
	4	0	2	0	0
	5	0	1	0	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	7	0	0	0	0
	8	0	0	1	1
	11	0	1	1	0
	12	0	0	0	0
	14	0	0	0	0
Total		3	25	11	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	0	0	0	3
	1	2	4	4	31
	2	2	0	4	12
	3	1	1	0	6
	4	0	1	2	5
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	1	2
	7	0	0	1	1
	8	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	1
	14	0	1	0	1
Total		5	7	13	67

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	75.483 ^a	66	.199
Likelihood Ratio	52.752	66	.881
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.264	1	.132
N of Valid Cases	67		

a. 81 cells (96.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	0	0
	1	41	27	6	5
	2	54	45	9	3
	3	29	18	2	3
	4	8	11	1	0
	5	2	2	0	0
	6	5	3	0	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	11	0	1	0	1
	12	4	2	0	0
	24	1	0	0	0
Total		145	109	18	12

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	1	1
	1	2	6	5	92
	2	12	8	8	139
	3	1	0	6	59
	4	2	1	0	23
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	1	1	11
	8	0	1	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	1	1	0	8
	24	0	0	0	1
Total		19	18	21	342

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.684 ^a	60	.286
Likelihood Ratio	53.002	60	.727
Linear-by-Linear Association	.166	1	.684
N of Valid Cases	342		

a. 63 cells (81.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How many family members died in the past year	0	2	0	0	0
	1	26	15	1	3
	2	2	0	1	1
	3	2	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		32	16	2	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How many family members died in the past year	0	0	0	0	2
	1	4	4	3	56
	2	0	1	1	6
	3	0	0	0	2
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	0	1
Total		4	5	4	68

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.796 ^a	30	.476
Likelihood Ratio	22.640	30	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	68		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	180	30.1%	419	69.9%	599	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.1%
Total		Count	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.4%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total		Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
selling livestock	No	Count	11	146
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.8%	81.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.3%	18.9%
Total		Count	16	180
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.691 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.228	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.529	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	180		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.70.

“SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling assets	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
selling assets	No	Count	15	169
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	96.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	3.4%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.677 ^a	6	.720
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	.680	1	.410
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	62	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.8%
Total	Count		78	39
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		9	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
use savings	No	Count	8	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	15.4%
Total	Count		10	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
use savings	No	Count	15	149
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	85.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	14.9%
Total	Count		16	175
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.565 ^a	6	.363
Likelihood Ratio	9.396	6	.152
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.987	1	.159
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.34.

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	179	29.9%	420	70.1%	599	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	35
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%
Total	Count		81
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.0%
Total	Count		40
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from family friends	No	Count	106
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	73
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.8%
Total	Count		179
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.955 ^a	6	.428
Likelihood Ratio	6.285	6	.392
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.356	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	179		

a. 3 cells (21.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.67.

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.0%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	162
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.123 ^a	6	.793
Likelihood Ratio	4.217	6	.647
Linear-by-Linear Association	.296	1	.586
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .62.

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.3%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total	
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	164
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.7%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.396 ^a	6	.758
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.376	1	.241
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	65	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%	62.0%
	Yes	Count	37	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%	38.0%
Total	Count		102	50
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		9	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	72.7%	69.2%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.3%	30.8%
Total	Count		11	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	16	142
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	2	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	33.3%
Total	Count		18	213
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.807 ^a	6	.445
Likelihood Ratio	6.640	6	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.524	1	.060
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.00.

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
additional work	No	Count	17	196
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%	92.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%	7.5%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.220 ^a	6	.516
Likelihood Ratio	4.939	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	.088	1	.767
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .68.

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	97
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	47
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			more than 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%
Total		Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
migrate to find work	No	Count	204
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.214 ^a	6	.899
Likelihood Ratio	3.786	6	.706
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	67
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.0%
Total		Count	103
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	67.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	32.7%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce spending	No	Count	15	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	83.3%	69.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	16.7%	31.0%
Total		Count	18	213
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.474 ^a	6	.613
Likelihood Ratio	4.779	6	.572
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.190	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.79.

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.8%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		22.2%
Total		Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		80.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		20.0%
Total		Count
		10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		18.2%
Total		Count
		11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		69.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		30.8%
Total		Count
		13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...
		more than 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		83.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		16.7%
Total		Count
		18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		67.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		69
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		32.5%
Total		Count
		212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.786 ^a	6	.448
Likelihood Ratio	6.216	6	.399
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.904	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.93.

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	99	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.1%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.9%	0.0%
Total		Count	102	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	10.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce loan	No	Count	18	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	97.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	2.4%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.878 ^a	6	.247
Likelihood Ratio	7.503	6	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.291	1	.589
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.6%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
receive gifts	No	Count	18	183
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	86.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	13.7%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.169 ^a	6	.058
Likelihood Ratio	15.601	6	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.820	1	.093
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit	210	35.1%	389	64.9%	599	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	98
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.0%
Total		Count	101
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
received counselling	No	Count	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.4%
Total	Count		210
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.285 ^a	6	.772
Likelihood Ratio	4.439	6	.618
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.633	1	.201
N of Valid Cases	210		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .13.

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit	381	63.6%	218	36.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	98	56
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	49.5%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	100	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.5%	41.7%
Total	Count		198	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	5	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		14	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	10	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	61.1%
	Yes	Count	6	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	38.9%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	16	202
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	53.0%
	Yes	Count	10	179
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	47.0%
Total	Count		26	381
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.813 ^a	6	.444
Likelihood Ratio	5.850	6	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.775	1	.183
N of Valid Cases	381		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.11.

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	105	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.4%	61.3%
	Yes	Count	88	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.6%	38.7%
Total	Count		193	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	55.6%
	Yes	Count	4	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	44.4%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	19	215
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.1%	57.6%
	Yes	Count	7	158
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.9%	42.4%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.860 ^a	6	.334
Likelihood Ratio	7.055	6	.316
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.316	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.51.

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit	386	64.4%	213	35.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	129	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.5%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	65	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.5%	33.3%
Total	Count		194	99
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	4	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	33.3%
Total	Count		16	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	11	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	57.9%
	Yes	Count	6	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	42.1%
Total	Count		17	19
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	17	256
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.4%	66.3%
	Yes	Count	9	130
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.6%	33.7%
Total	Count		26	386
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.182 ^a	6	.978
Likelihood Ratio	1.190	6	.977
Linear-by-Linear Association	.176	1	.675
N of Valid Cases	386		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.05.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	109	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.9%	52.7%
	Yes	Count	86	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.1%	47.3%
Total	Count		195	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.9%	41.7%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.1%	58.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	9	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	17.6%
Total		Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	16	206
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	55.2%
	Yes	Count	10	167
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	44.8%
Total		Count	26	373
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.367 ^a	6	.212
Likelihood Ratio	8.895	6	.180
Linear-by-Linear Association	.683	1	.409
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.37.

“EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	189	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	91.1%
	yes	Count	2	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	191	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	25	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	96.7%
	yes	Count	1	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	3.3%
Total		Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.173 ^a	6	.028
Likelihood Ratio	13.758	6	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.868
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	185	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	95.6%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	4.4%
Total	Count		191	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	0	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.3%
Total	Count		26	364
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.338 ^a	6	.765
Likelihood Ratio	4.773	6	.573
Linear-by-Linear Association	.376	1	.540
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	369	61.6%	230	38.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	174	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.6%	93.5%
	Yes	Count	18	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.4%	6.5%
Total	Count		192	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.4%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.6%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	25	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	92.1%
	Yes	Count	1	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	7.9%
Total		Count	26	369
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.843 ^a	6	.698
Likelihood Ratio	4.933	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.367	1	.242
N of Valid Cases	369		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit	366	61.1%	233	38.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	180	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	93.4%
	Yes	Count	12	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	6.6%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	83.3%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	25	343
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	1	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	6.3%
Total	Count		26	366
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.354 ^a	6	.763
Likelihood Ratio	3.566	6	.735
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861
N of Valid Cases	366		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .75.

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit	396	66.1%	203	33.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	119	60
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.8%	59.4%
	Yes	Count	87	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.2%	40.6%
Total	Count		206	101
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	41.7%
Total	Count		17	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	77.8%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	22.2%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	21	244
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	61.6%
	Yes	Count	6	152
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	38.4%
Total		Count	27	396
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.736 ^a	6	.189
Likelihood Ratio	9.336	6	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.623	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.61.

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	151	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.4%	82.6%
	Yes	Count	44	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.6%	17.4%
Total		Count	195	92
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	5	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	33.3%
Total		Count	15	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	14	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	1	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	17.6%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	22	295
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%	79.3%
	Yes	Count	4	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%	20.7%
Total	Count		26	372
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.995 ^a	6	.424
Likelihood Ratio	6.250	6	.396
Linear-by-Linear Association	.921	1	.337
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.48.

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	189	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.4%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.6%	0.0%
Total	Count		192	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	26	362
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	99.2%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.8%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.726 ^a	6	.842
Likelihood Ratio	3.877	6	.693
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.316	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit	367	61.3%	232	38.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	190	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	94.5%
	Yes	Count	2	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	5.5%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food
*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.9%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.1%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food
*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	24	354
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	96.5%
	Yes	Count	2	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	3.5%
Total	Count		26	367
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.870 ^a	6	.092
Likelihood Ratio	10.819	6	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.551	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	367		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	160	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.5%	82.8%
	yes	Count	34	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.5%	17.2%
Total	Count		194	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	76.9%
	yes	Count	4	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	23.1%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	14	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	21	309
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	83.1%
	yes	Count	5	63
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	16.9%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.839 ^a	6	.441
Likelihood Ratio	8.436	6	.208
Linear-by-Linear Association	.521	1	.470
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.20.

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	183	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.3%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.7%	2.2%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.9%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.1%	0.0%
Total	Count		14	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.4%
	yes	Count	0	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.6%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.588 ^a	6	.598
Likelihood Ratio	6.268	6	.394
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.119	1	.290
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit	415	69.3%	184	30.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	97	58
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.1%	56.9%
	Yes	Count	118	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.9%	43.1%
Total	Count		215	102
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	53.8%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	46.2%
Total	Count		16	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit		
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.8%	36.4%
	Yes	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	41.2%	63.6%
Total	Count	17	22	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	14	203
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	48.9%
	Yes	Count	16	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	51.1%
Total		Count	30	415
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.407 ^a	6	.379
Likelihood Ratio	6.437	6	.376
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.948
N of Valid Cases	415		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.36.

“FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	374	62.4%	225	37.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	179	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.4%	93.4%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.6%	6.6%
Total	Count		198	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit		
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	11.1%
Total	Count	15	18	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	21	338
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	90.4%
	yes	Count	5	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	9.6%
Total		Count	26	374
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.468 ^a	6	.614
Likelihood Ratio	3.970	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.595	1	.207
N of Valid Cases	374		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.25.

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	193	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		197	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	26	365
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.769 ^a	6	.940
Likelihood Ratio	3.076	6	.799
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.380	1	.240
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	192	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	25	360
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	97.3%
	Yes	Count	1	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	2.7%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.902 ^a	6	.690
Likelihood Ratio	3.351	6	.764
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.750	1	.186
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	377	62.9%	222	37.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	195	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.5%	95.7%
	yes	1	4
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.5%	4.3%
Total	Count	196	94
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	11	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	83.3%
	yes	1	2
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	16.7%
Total	Count	12	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%
	yes	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	Total
		more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.0%
	yes	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.0%
Total	Count		27
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.498 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	72.043	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	85.238	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	377		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	176	83
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.3%	90.2%
	yes	Count	21	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.7%	9.8%
Total	Count		197	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	10	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.4%	81.8%
	yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count		14	11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	15	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.2%
	yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.8%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	25	333
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	89.5%
	yes	Count	1	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	10.5%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.637 ^a	6	.195
Likelihood Ratio	9.068	6	.170
Linear-by-Linear Association	.607	1	.436
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	177	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.3%	93.5%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.7%	6.5%
Total	Count		196	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	24	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.6%
	yes	Count	2	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.4%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.471 ^a	6	.748
Likelihood Ratio	4.524	6	.606
Linear-by-Linear Association	.495	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.00.

“DEPENDEN ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	186	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.9%	96.7%
	yes	Count	10	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	196	92
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“DEPENDENT ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	25	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	4.3%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.390 ^a	6	.881
Likelihood Ratio	3.496	6	.744
Linear-by-Linear Association	.128	1	.720
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit	382	63.8%	217	36.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.5%
	yes	Count	53
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.5%
Total	Count	200	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%
	yes	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%
Total	Count	14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	13	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	3	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%	11.1%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	20	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	76.7%
	yes	Count	6	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	23.3%
Total	Count		26	382
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.201 ^a	6	.401
Likelihood Ratio	6.710	6	.349
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.604	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	382		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	192	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	98.9%
	yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	1.1%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	370
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.529 ^a	6	.606
Likelihood Ratio	4.249	6	.643
Linear-by-Linear Association	.919	1	.338
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	185	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.4%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.6%	2.2%
Total	Count		194	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	10	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	92.3%
	yes	Count	3	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	7.7%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	26	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	95.9%
	yes	Count	0	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	4.1%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.006 ^a	6	.014
Likelihood Ratio	12.377	6	.054
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.164	1	.281
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .53.

“TOTAL STRESSES AND SHOCKS BY total livestock units”

Oneway

Descriptives

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
total stresses	0 total Livestock units	2	4.0000	.00000	.00000
	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	2	4.0000	.00000	.00000
	more than 10 total livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Total	7	4.0000	.00000	.00000

Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
		Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
total stresses	0 total Livestock units	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00
	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00
	more than 10 total livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Total	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
total stresses	Between Groups	.000	4	.000	.	.
	Within Groups	.000	2	.000		
	Total	.000	6			

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	150	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.5%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	79	50
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.5%	43.5%
Total	Count		229	115
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	14	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		18	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
Total	Count		18	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	28	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.4%	65.1%
	Yes	Count	6	157
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.6%	34.9%
Total	Count		34	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.032 ^a	6	.123
Likelihood Ratio	10.548	6	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.382	1	.066
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.23.

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	545	91.0%	54	9.0%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	59	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.8%
	Yes	Count	211	99
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	69.2%
Total	Count		270	143
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	8	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.6%	18.8%
	Yes	Count	19	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.4%	81.3%
Total	Count		27	16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	19.4%
	Yes	Count	13	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	80.6%
Total	Count		19	31
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	11	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.2%	25.1%
	Yes	Count	28	408
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.8%	74.9%
Total	Count		39	545
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.760 ^a	6	.451
Likelihood Ratio	5.723	6	.455
Linear-by-Linear Association	.301	1	.583
N of Valid Cases	545		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.02.

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Liverstock units	Between 0 and 1 total Liverstock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	173	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	74.2%	86.4%
	Yes	Count	60	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.8%	13.6%
Total	Count		233	110
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Liverstock units	Between 3 and 5 total Liverstock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.6%	93.3%
	Yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.4%	6.7%
Total	Count		17	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.9%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.1%	10.0%
Total	Count		19	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	32	359
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	79.8%
	Yes	Count	4	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	20.2%
Total	Count		36	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.127 ^a	6	.041
Likelihood Ratio	14.049	6	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.800	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.03.

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	436	72.8%	163	27.2%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	204	96
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.1%	89.7%
	Yes	Count	20	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.9%	10.3%
Total	Count		224	107
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.2%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.8%	7.1%
Total	Count		17	14
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.1%	81.0%
	Yes	Count	1	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.9%	19.0%
Total	Count		17	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	33	394
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	90.4%
	Yes	Count	3	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	9.6%
Total	Count		36	436
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.850 ^a	6	.827
Likelihood Ratio	2.474	6	.871
Linear-by-Linear Association	.167	1	.682
N of Valid Cases	436		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.35.

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit	487	81.3%	112	18.7%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	104	48
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.6%	37.8%
	Yes	Count	140	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.4%	62.2%
Total		Count	244	127
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.5%	46.7%
	Yes	Count	12	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.5%	53.3%
Total		Count	22	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	52.6%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	9	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	47.4%	66.7%
Total		Count	19	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	23	210
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.9%	43.1%
	Yes	Count	13	277
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.1%	56.9%
Total		Count	36	487
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.588 ^a	6	.143
Likelihood Ratio	9.562	6	.144
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.064	1	.080
N of Valid Cases	487		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.47.

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit	490	81.8%	109	18.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	101	52
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	42.3%
	Yes	Count	146	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	57.7%
Total	Count		247	123
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	66.7%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	6	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	64.0%
	Yes	Count	13	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	36.0%
Total		Count	19	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	20	209
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	51.3%	42.7%
	Yes	Count	19	281
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	48.7%	57.3%
Total		Count	39	490
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.678 ^a	6	.263
Likelihood Ratio	7.656	6	.264
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.440	1	.118
N of Valid Cases	490		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.40.

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit	466	77.8%	133	22.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	138	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.0%	65.3%
	Yes	Count	100	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.0%	34.7%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	238	118	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	18	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	6	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	43.5%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	18	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	26	288
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.3%	61.8%
	Yes	Count	10	177
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.0%	38.0%
	5	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.7%	0.2%
Total		Count	37	466
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.493 ^a	12	.170
Likelihood Ratio	10.083	12	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.741
N of Valid Cases	466		

a. 7 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“HELP WITH CHILDCARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

“HELP OTHER WAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

“ STRESSES , SHOCKS, COPING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	206	117
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.1%	76.0%
	Yes	Count	88	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.9%	24.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	25	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	70.0%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	27
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	84.4%
	Yes	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	15.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	36	439
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%	73.3%
	Yes	Count	8	160
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%	26.7%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	239	105
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	68.2%
	Yes	Count	55	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.7%	31.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	11	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	25.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	26	443
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	74.0%
	Yes	Count	18	156
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	26.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	275	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.5%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	19	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.5%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	32	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	85.0%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	15.0%
Total		Count	32	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	19	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	96.9%
	Yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	3.1%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	42	561
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.5%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	2	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.5%	6.3%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	285	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	95.0%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	5.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	575
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	96.0%
	Yes	Count	3	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	4.0%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	278	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.6%	89.0%
	Yes	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.4%	11.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	554
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	92.5%
	Yes	Count	3	45
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	7.5%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	251	112
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	85.4%	72.7%
	Yes	Count	43	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	14.6%	27.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	65.0%
	Yes	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	35.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	7	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	25.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	31	468
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.5%	78.1%
	Yes	Count	13	131
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.5%	21.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	229	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.9%	79.2%
	Yes	Count	65	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.1%	20.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	24	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	8	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	40.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	90.6%
	Yes	Count	7	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	9.4%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	38	470
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	86.4%	78.5%
	Yes	Count	6	129
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	13.6%	21.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	263	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.5%	89.6%
	Yes	Count	31	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.5%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	43	542
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.7%	90.5%
	Yes	Count	1	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.3%	9.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	282	143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%	7.1%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	567
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	94.7%
	Yes	Count	3	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	5.3%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	261	135
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.8%	87.7%
	Yes	Count	33	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.2%	12.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	35	522
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.1%
	Yes	Count	9	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	293	128
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.7%	83.1%
	Yes	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.3%	16.9%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	20	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	12	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	17	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	6	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	527
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.2%	88.0%
	Yes	Count	14	72
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.8%	12.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	102	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.7%	20.8%
	Yes	Count	192	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.3%	79.2%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	13	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.6%	30.0%
	Yes	Count	19	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.4%	70.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	2	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.7%	34.4%
	Yes	Count	21	21
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.3%	65.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	185
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%	30.9%
	Yes	Count	25	414
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%	69.1%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.

"DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	257	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.4%	89.6%
	yes	Count	37	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.6%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	30	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	70.0%
	yes	Count	2	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	Count	40	524
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%	87.5%
	yes	Count	4	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%	12.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	206	117	25	14
	Yes	88	37	7	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	14	27	36	439
	Yes	9	5	8	160
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

Bar Chart



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	239	105	21	15
	Yes	55	49	11	5
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	14	23	26	443
	Yes	9	9	18	156
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	275	145	32	17
	Yes	19	9	0	3
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	19	31	42	561
	Yes	4	1	2	38
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	285	145	30	19
	Yes	9	9	2	1
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	23	32	41	575
	Yes	0	0	3	24
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	278	137	28	18
	Yes	16	17	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	41	554
	Yes	1	2	3	45
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	251	112	21	13
	Yes	43	42	11	7
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	16	24	31	468
	Yes	7	8	13	131
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	229	122	24	12
	Yes	65	32	8	8
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	16	29	38	470
	Yes	7	3	6	129
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	263	138	30	16
	Yes	31	16	2	4
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	43	542
	Yes	1	2	1	57
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

Bar Chart



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	282	143	30	18
	Yes	12	11	2	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	23	30	41	567
	Yes	0	2	3	32
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	261	135	28	18
	Yes	33	19	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	19	26	35	522
	Yes	4	6	9	77
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.



Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	293	128	20	16
	Yes	1	26	12	4
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	17	23	30	527
	Yes	6	9	14	72
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	102	32	13	6
	Yes	192	122	19	14
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	2	11	19	185
	Yes	21	21	25	414
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.



Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	257	138	30	14
	yes	37	16	2	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	19	26	40	524
	yes	4	6	4	75
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

Bar Chart



“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	151	25.2%	448	74.8%	599	100.0%
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	135	22.5%	464	77.5%	599	100.0%
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	38	6.3%	561	93.7%	599	100.0%
How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	25	4.2%	574	95.8%	599	100.0%
How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	45	7.5%	554	92.5%	599	100.0%
How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	117	19.5%	482	80.5%	599	100.0%
How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	123	20.5%	476	79.5%	599	100.0%
How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	56	9.3%	543	90.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	32	5.3%	567	94.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	66	11.0%	533	89.0%	599	100.0%
How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	67	11.2%	532	88.8%	599	100.0%

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	342	57.1%	257	42.9%	599	100.0%
How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit	68	11.4%	531	88.6%	599	100.0%

How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	1	0	0
	1	48	14	6	3
	2	16	3	1	0
	3	9	10	0	2
	4	3	6	0	0
	5	2	1	0	0
	6	2	0	0	0
	7	1	0	0	0
	12	1	0	0	0
Total		82	35	7	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	0	1	2
	1	3	1	4	79
	2	2	0	3	25
	3	3	1	1	26
	4	0	1	0	10
	5	0	0	0	3
	6	1	0	0	3
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	2
Total		9	4	9	151

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	62.434 ^a	48	.079
Likelihood Ratio	49.183	48	.426
Linear-by-Linear Association	.612	1	.434
N of Valid Cases	151		

a. 56 cells (88.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



**How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Categ
ory of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	3	0	0	0
	1	21	25	3	1
	2	8	9	3	0
	3	11	6	2	1
	4	2	4	0	1
	5	2	1	1	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		47	47	9	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	0	0	1	4
	1	1	4	7	62
	2	4	1	6	31
	3	1	0	1	22
	4	0	1	0	8
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	2
Total		7	6	16	135

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.139 ^a	42	.422
Likelihood Ratio	41.311	42	.501
Linear-by-Linear Association	.250	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	135		

a. 49 cells (87.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	15	5	0	4
	2	0	1	1	0
	3	1	2	1	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	9	0	1	0	0
Total		18	9	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	1	3
	1	1	2	27
	2	0	0	2
	3	0	0	4
	6	0	0	1
	9	0	0	1
Total		2	3	38

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.416 ^a	25	.209
Likelihood Ratio	25.168	25	.453
Linear-by-Linear Association	.950	1	.330
N of Valid Cases	38		

a. 34 cells (94.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did flood happen ?	0	2	0	0	0
	1	7	8	2	1
	2	0	1	0	0
Total		9	9	2	1

Crosstab

Count


		Category of...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did flood happen ?	0	1	3
	1	3	21
	2	0	1
Total		4	25

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.762 ^a	8	.783
Likelihood Ratio	6.170	8	.628
Linear-by-Linear Association	.191	1	.662
N of Valid Cases	25		

a. 13 cells (86.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.



How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	14	13	4	2
	2	0	2	0	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	11	1	0	0	0
Total		16	17	4	2

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	0	1	0	2
	1	1	2	2	38
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	1
Total		1	3	2	45

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.823 ^a	24	.894
Likelihood Ratio	15.082	24	.918
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.063	1	.302
N of Valid Cases	45		

a. 33 cells (94.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did drought happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	27	30	10	6
	2	2	4	0	1
	3	3	2	0	0
	4	1	0	0	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	8	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
	20	0	0	0	0
Total		36	39	10	7

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did drought happen ?	0	0	1	1	5
	1	6	6	8	93
	2	0	0	0	7
	3	0	1	0	6
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	1
	8	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	1	2
	20	0	0	1	1
Total		6	8	11	117

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.709 ^a	48	.955
Likelihood Ratio	30.956	48	.973
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.470	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	117		

a. 57 cells (90.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did illness happen ?	0	3	2	0	0
	1	32	14	4	5
	2	5	5	0	1
	3	1	2	1	0
	4	5	3	0	0
	5	1	1	0	0
	6	1	2	1	0
	12	10	5	2	0
Total		58	34	8	6

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did illness happen ?	0	0	0	1	6
	1	2	1	5	63
	2	1	0	0	12
	3	0	0	0	4
	4	1	0	1	10
	5	1	0	0	3
	6	0	0	0	4
	12	2	2	0	21
Total		7	3	7	123

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.468 ^a	42	.883
Likelihood Ratio	33.277	42	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.938
N of Valid Cases	123		

a. 51 cells (91.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	25	12	2	3
	2	1	1	0	0
	3	2	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	0
	6	1	1	0	0
Total		30	17	2	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	46
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	3
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	2
Total		1	2	1	56

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.253 ^a	30	1.000
Likelihood Ratio	6.648	30	1.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.356	1	.551
N of Valid Cases	56		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total live stock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	1	0	0	2
	1	6	4	1	1
	2	1	3	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	1
	6	1	0	1	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0
	12	2	1	0	0
Total		12	10	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	0	0	3
	1	1	0	13
	2	0	0	4
	3	0	0	1
	4	0	0	2
	6	0	0	2
	8	0	0	1
	10	0	1	1
	12	0	2	5
Total		1	3	32

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.826 ^a	40	.243
Likelihood Ratio	37.154	40	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.174	1	.075
N of Valid Cases	32		

a. 54 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	17	10	3	2
	2	5	3	1	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	4	1	1	0	0
	5	1	0	0	0
	6	0	0	0	0
Total		25	17	4	2

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	4	5	42
	2	2	0	1	12
	3	1	1	1	5
	4	0	0	0	2
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	2	2
Total		4	5	9	66

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.114 ^a	36	.823
Likelihood Ratio	27.083	36	.858
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.200	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	66		

a. 46 cells (93.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	1	13	6	1
	2	0	3	2	1
	3	0	3	1	0
	4	0	2	0	0
	5	0	1	0	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	7	0	0	0	0
	8	0	0	1	1
	11	0	1	1	0
	12	0	0	0	0
	14	0	0	0	0
Total		3	25	11	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	0	0	0	3
	1	2	4	4	31
	2	2	0	4	12
	3	1	1	0	6
	4	0	1	2	5
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	1	2
	7	0	0	1	1
	8	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	1
	14	0	1	0	1
Total		5	7	13	67

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	75.483 ^a	66	.199
Likelihood Ratio	52.752	66	.881
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.264	1	.132
N of Valid Cases	67		

a. 81 cells (96.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	0	0
	1	41	27	6	5
	2	54	45	9	3
	3	29	18	2	3
	4	8	11	1	0
	5	2	2	0	0
	6	5	3	0	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	11	0	1	0	1
	12	4	2	0	0
	24	1	0	0	0
Total		145	109	18	12

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	1	1
	1	2	6	5	92
	2	12	8	8	139
	3	1	0	6	59
	4	2	1	0	23
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	1	1	11
	8	0	1	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	1	1	0	8
	24	0	0	0	1
Total		19	18	21	342

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.684 ^a	60	.286
Likelihood Ratio	53.002	60	.727
Linear-by-Linear Association	.166	1	.684
N of Valid Cases	342		

a. 63 cells (81.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How many family members died in the past year	0	2	0	0	0
	1	26	15	1	3
	2	2	0	1	1
	3	2	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		32	16	2	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How many family members died in the past year	0	0	0	0	2
	1	4	4	3	56
	2	0	1	1	6
	3	0	0	0	2
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	0	1
Total		4	5	4	68

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.796 ^a	30	.476
Likelihood Ratio	22.640	30	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	68		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	180	30.1%	419	69.9%	599	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.1%
Total		Count	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.4%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total		Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		Total
		more than 10 total livestock units		
selling livestock	No	Count	11	146
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.8%	81.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.3%	18.9%
Total		Count	16	180
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.691 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.228	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.529	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	180		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.70.

“SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... 0 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling assets	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
selling assets	No	Count	15	169
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	96.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	3.4%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.677 ^a	6	.720
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	.680	1	.410
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	62	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.8%
Total		Count	78	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
use savings	No	Count	8	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	15.4%
Total		Count	10	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
use savings	No	Count	15	149
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	85.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	14.9%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.565 ^a	6	.363
Likelihood Ratio	9.396	6	.152
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.987	1	.159
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.34.

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	179	29.9%	420	70.1%	599	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	35
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%
Total		Count	81
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.0%
Total		Count	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from family friends	No	Count	106
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	73
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.8%
Total	Count		179
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.955 ^a	6	.428
Likelihood Ratio	6.285	6	.392
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.356	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	179		

a. 3 cells (21.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.67.

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.0%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	162
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.123 ^a	6	.793
Likelihood Ratio	4.217	6	.647
Linear-by-Linear Association	.296	1	.586
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .62.

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.3%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total	
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	164
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.7%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.396 ^a	6	.758
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.376	1	.241
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	65	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%	62.0%
	Yes	Count	37	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%	38.0%
Total	Count		102	50
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		9	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	72.7%	69.2%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.3%	30.8%
Total	Count		11	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	16	142
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	2	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	33.3%
Total	Count		18	213
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.807 ^a	6	.445
Likelihood Ratio	6.640	6	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.524	1	.060
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.00.

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
additional work	No	Count	17	196
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%	92.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%	7.5%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.220 ^a	6	.516
Likelihood Ratio	4.939	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	.088	1	.767
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .68.

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	97
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	47
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			more than 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%
Total		Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
migrate to find work	No	Count	204
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.214 ^a	6	.899
Likelihood Ratio	3.786	6	.706
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... 0 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	67
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.0%
Total	Count		103
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	67.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	32.7%
Total	Count		49
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce spending	No	Count	15	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	83.3%	69.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	16.7%	31.0%
Total		Count	18	213
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.474 ^a	6	.613
Likelihood Ratio	4.779	6	.572
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.190	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.79.

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.8%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		22.2%
Total		Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		80.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		20.0%
Total		Count
		10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		18.2%
Total		Count
		11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		69.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		30.8%
Total		Count
		13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...
		more than 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		83.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		16.7%
Total		Count
		18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		67.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		69
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		32.5%
Total		Count
		212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.786 ^a	6	.448
Likelihood Ratio	6.216	6	.399
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.904	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.93.

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	99	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.1%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.9%	0.0%
Total		Count	102	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	10.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce loan	No	Count	18	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	97.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	2.4%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.878 ^a	6	.247
Likelihood Ratio	7.503	6	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.291	1	.589
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.6%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
receive gifts	No	Count	18	183
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	86.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	13.7%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.169 ^a	6	.058
Likelihood Ratio	15.601	6	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.820	1	.093
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit	210	35.1%	389	64.9%	599	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
received counselling	No	Count	98
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.0%
Total		Count	101
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
received counselling	No	Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
received counselling	No	Count	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.4%
Total	Count		210
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.285 ^a	6	.772
Likelihood Ratio	4.439	6	.618
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.633	1	.201
N of Valid Cases	210		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .13.

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit	381	63.6%	218	36.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	98	56
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	49.5%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	100	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.5%	41.7%
Total	Count		198	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	5	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		14	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	10	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	61.1%
	Yes	Count	6	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	38.9%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	16	202
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	53.0%
	Yes	Count	10	179
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	47.0%
Total	Count		26	381
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.813 ^a	6	.444
Likelihood Ratio	5.850	6	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.775	1	.183
N of Valid Cases	381		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.11.

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	105	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.4%	61.3%
	Yes	Count	88	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.6%	38.7%
Total	Count		193	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	55.6%
	Yes	Count	4	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	44.4%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	19	215
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.1%	57.6%
	Yes	Count	7	158
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.9%	42.4%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.860 ^a	6	.334
Likelihood Ratio	7.055	6	.316
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.316	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.51.

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit	386	64.4%	213	35.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	129	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.5%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	65	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.5%	33.3%
Total	Count		194	99
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	4	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	33.3%
Total	Count		16	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	11	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	57.9%
	Yes	Count	6	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	42.1%
Total	Count		17	19
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	17	256
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.4%	66.3%
	Yes	Count	9	130
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.6%	33.7%
Total	Count		26	386
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.182 ^a	6	.978
Likelihood Ratio	1.190	6	.977
Linear-by-Linear Association	.176	1	.675
N of Valid Cases	386		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.05.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	109	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.9%	52.7%
	Yes	Count	86	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.1%	47.3%
Total	Count		195	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.9%	41.7%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.1%	58.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	9	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	17.6%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	16	206
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	55.2%
	Yes	Count	10	167
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	44.8%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.367 ^a	6	.212
Likelihood Ratio	8.895	6	.180
Linear-by-Linear Association	.683	1	.409
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.37.

“EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	189	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	91.1%
	yes	Count	2	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	191	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	25	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	96.7%
	yes	Count	1	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	3.3%
Total		Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.173 ^a	6	.028
Likelihood Ratio	13.758	6	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.868
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	185	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	95.6%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	4.4%
Total	Count		191	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	0	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.3%
Total	Count		26	364
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.338 ^a	6	.765
Likelihood Ratio	4.773	6	.573
Linear-by-Linear Association	.376	1	.540
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	369	61.6%	230	38.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	174	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.6%	93.5%
	Yes	Count	18	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.4%	6.5%
Total	Count		192	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.4%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.6%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	25	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	92.1%
	Yes	Count	1	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	7.9%
Total		Count	26	369
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.843 ^a	6	.698
Likelihood Ratio	4.933	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.367	1	.242
N of Valid Cases	369		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit	366	61.1%	233	38.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	180	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	93.4%
	Yes	Count	12	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	6.6%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	83.3%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	16.7%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	25	343
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	1	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	6.3%
Total	Count		26	366
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.354 ^a	6	.763
Likelihood Ratio	3.566	6	.735
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861
N of Valid Cases	366		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .75.

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit	396	66.1%	203	33.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	119	60
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.8%	59.4%
	Yes	Count	87	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.2%	40.6%
Total	Count		206	101
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	41.7%
Total	Count		17	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	77.8%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	22.2%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	21	244
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	61.6%
	Yes	Count	6	152
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	38.4%
Total		Count	27	396
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.736 ^a	6	.189
Likelihood Ratio	9.336	6	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.623	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.61.

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	151	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.4%	82.6%
	Yes	Count	44	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.6%	17.4%
Total	Count		195	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	5	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	33.3%
Total	Count		15	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	14	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	1	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	17.6%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	22	295
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%	79.3%
	Yes	Count	4	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%	20.7%
Total	Count		26	372
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.995 ^a	6	.424
Likelihood Ratio	6.250	6	.396
Linear-by-Linear Association	.921	1	.337
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.48.

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	189	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.4%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.6%	0.0%
Total	Count		192	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	26	362
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	99.2%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.8%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.726 ^a	6	.842
Likelihood Ratio	3.877	6	.693
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.316	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit	367	61.3%	232	38.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	190	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	94.5%
	Yes	Count	2	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	5.5%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.9%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.1%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	24	354
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	96.5%
	Yes	Count	2	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	3.5%
Total	Count		26	367
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.870 ^a	6	.092
Likelihood Ratio	10.819	6	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.551	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	367		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	160	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.5%	82.8%
	yes	Count	34	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.5%	17.2%
Total	Count		194	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	76.9%
	yes	Count	4	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	23.1%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	14	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	21	309
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	83.1%
	yes	Count	5	63
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	16.9%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.839 ^a	6	.441
Likelihood Ratio	8.436	6	.208
Linear-by-Linear Association	.521	1	.470
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.20.

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	183	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.3%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.7%	2.2%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.9%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.1%	0.0%
Total	Count		14	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.4%
	yes	Count	0	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.6%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.588 ^a	6	.598
Likelihood Ratio	6.268	6	.394
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.119	1	.290
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit	415	69.3%	184	30.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	97	58
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.1%	56.9%
	Yes	Count	118	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.9%	43.1%
Total	Count		215	102
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	53.8%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	46.2%
Total	Count		16	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit		
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.8%	36.4%
	Yes	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	41.2%	63.6%
Total	Count	17	22	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	14	203
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	48.9%
	Yes	Count	16	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	51.1%
Total		Count	30	415
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.407 ^a	6	.379
Likelihood Ratio	6.437	6	.376
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.948
N of Valid Cases	415		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.36.

“FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	374	62.4%	225	37.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	179	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.4%	93.4%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.6%	6.6%
Total	Count		198	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	11.1%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	21	338
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	90.4%
	yes	Count	5	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	9.6%
Total		Count	26	374
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.468 ^a	6	.614
Likelihood Ratio	3.970	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.595	1	.207
N of Valid Cases	374		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.25.

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	193	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		197	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	26	365
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.769 ^a	6	.940
Likelihood Ratio	3.076	6	.799
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.380	1	.240
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	192	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total		Count	196	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	25	360
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	97.3%
	Yes	Count	1	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	2.7%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.902 ^a	6	.690
Likelihood Ratio	3.351	6	.764
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.750	1	.186
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	377	62.9%	222	37.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	195	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.5%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.5%	4.3%
Total	Count	196	94
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	11	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	16.7%
Total	Count	12	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%
	yes	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%
Total	Count	16	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.0%
	yes	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.0%
Total	Count	27	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.498 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	72.043	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	85.238	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	377		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	176	83
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.3%	90.2%
	yes	Count	21	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.7%	9.8%
Total	Count		197	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	10	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.4%	81.8%
	yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count		14	11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	15	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.2%
	yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.8%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	25	333
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	89.5%
	yes	Count	1	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	10.5%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.637 ^a	6	.195
Likelihood Ratio	9.068	6	.170
Linear-by-Linear Association	.607	1	.436
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	177	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.3%	93.5%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.7%	6.5%
Total	Count		196	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	24	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.6%
	yes	Count	2	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.4%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.471 ^a	6	.748
Likelihood Ratio	4.524	6	.606
Linear-by-Linear Association	.495	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.00.

“DEPENDEN ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	186	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.9%	96.7%
	yes	Count	10	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	196	92
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“DEPENDENT ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	25	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	4.3%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.390 ^a	6	.881
Likelihood Ratio	3.496	6	.744
Linear-by-Linear Association	.128	1	.720
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit	382	63.8%	217	36.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	147	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.5%	80.2%
	yes	Count	53	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.5%	19.8%
Total	Count		200	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	9	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	91.7%
	yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	8.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	13	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	3	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%	11.1%
Total		Count	16	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	20	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	76.7%
	yes	Count	6	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	23.3%
Total		Count	26	382
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.201 ^a	6	.401
Likelihood Ratio	6.710	6	.349
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.604	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	382		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	192	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	98.9%
	yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	1.1%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	370
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.529 ^a	6	.606
Likelihood Ratio	4.249	6	.643
Linear-by-Linear Association	.919	1	.338
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	185	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.4%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.6%	2.2%
Total	Count		194	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	10	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	92.3%
	yes	Count	3	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	7.7%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	26	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	95.9%
	yes	Count	0	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	4.1%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.006 ^a	6	.014
Likelihood Ratio	12.377	6	.054
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.164	1	.281
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .53.

“TOTAL STRESSES AND SHOCKS BY total livestock units”

Oneway

Descriptives

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
total stresses	0 total Livestock units	2	4.0000	.00000	.00000
	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	2	4.0000	.00000	.00000
	more than 10 total livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Total	7	4.0000	.00000	.00000

Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
total stresses	0 total Livestock units	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00
	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00
	more than 10 total livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Total	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
total stresses	Between Groups	.000	4	.000	.	.
	Within Groups	.000	2	.000		
	Total	.000	6			

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	150	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.5%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	79	50
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.5%	43.5%
Total	Count		229	115
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	14	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		18	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
Total	Count		18	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	28	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.4%	65.1%
	Yes	Count	6	157
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.6%	34.9%
Total	Count		34	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.032 ^a	6	.123
Likelihood Ratio	10.548	6	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.382	1	.066
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.23.

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	545	91.0%	54	9.0%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	59	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.8%
	Yes	Count	211	99
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	69.2%
Total	Count		270	143
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	8	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.6%	18.8%
	Yes	Count	19	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.4%	81.3%
Total	Count		27	16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	19.4%
	Yes	Count	13	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	80.6%
Total	Count		19	31
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	11	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.2%	25.1%
	Yes	Count	28	408
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.8%	74.9%
Total	Count		39	545
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.760 ^a	6	.451
Likelihood Ratio	5.723	6	.455
Linear-by-Linear Association	.301	1	.583
N of Valid Cases	545		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.02.

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	173	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	74.2%	86.4%
	Yes	Count	60	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.8%	13.6%
Total	Count		233	110
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.6%	93.3%
	Yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.4%	6.7%
Total	Count		17	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.9%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.1%	10.0%
Total	Count		19	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	32	359
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	79.8%
	Yes	Count	4	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	20.2%
Total	Count		36	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.127 ^a	6	.041
Likelihood Ratio	14.049	6	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.800	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.03.

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	436	72.8%	163	27.2%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	204	96
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.1%	89.7%
	Yes	Count	20	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.9%	10.3%
Total	Count		224	107
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.2%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.8%	7.1%
Total	Count		17	14
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.1%	81.0%
	Yes	Count	1	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.9%	19.0%
Total	Count		17	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	33	394
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	90.4%
	Yes	Count	3	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	9.6%
Total	Count		36	436
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.850 ^a	6	.827
Likelihood Ratio	2.474	6	.871
Linear-by-Linear Association	.167	1	.682
N of Valid Cases	436		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.35.

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit	487	81.3%	112	18.7%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	104	48
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.6%	37.8%
	Yes	Count	140	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.4%	62.2%
Total	Count		244	127
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.5%	46.7%
	Yes	Count	12	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.5%	53.3%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	52.6%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	9	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	47.4%	66.7%
Total		Count	19	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	23	210
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	63.9%	43.1%
	Yes	Count	13	277
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	36.1%	56.9%
Total		Count	36	487
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.588 ^a	6	.143
Likelihood Ratio	9.562	6	.144
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.064	1	.080
N of Valid Cases	487		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.47.

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit	490	81.8%	109	18.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	101	52
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	42.3%
	Yes	Count	146	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	57.7%
Total	Count		247	123
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	66.7%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	6	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	64.0%
	Yes	Count	13	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	36.0%
Total		Count	19	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	20	209
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	51.3%	42.7%
	Yes	Count	19	281
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	48.7%	57.3%
Total		Count	39	490
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.678 ^a	6	.263
Likelihood Ratio	7.656	6	.264
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.440	1	.118
N of Valid Cases	490		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.40.

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit	466	77.8%	133	22.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	138	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.0%	65.3%
	Yes	Count	100	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.0%	34.7%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	238	118	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	18	14	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	6	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	43.5%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	18	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	26	288
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.3%	61.8%
	Yes	Count	10	177
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.0%	38.0%
	5	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.7%	0.2%
Total	Count		37	466
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.493 ^a	12	.170
Likelihood Ratio	10.083	12	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.741
N of Valid Cases	466		

a. 7 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“HELP WITH CHILDCARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

“HELP OTHER WAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

“ STRESSES , SHOCKS, COPING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	206	117
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.1%	76.0%
	Yes	Count	88	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.9%	24.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	25	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	70.0%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	27
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	84.4%
	Yes	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	15.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	36	439
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%	73.3%
	Yes	Count	8	160
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%	26.7%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	239	105
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	68.2%
	Yes	Count	55	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.7%	31.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	11	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	25.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	26	443
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	74.0%
	Yes	Count	18	156
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	26.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	275	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.5%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	19	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.5%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	32	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	85.0%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	15.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	19	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	96.9%
	Yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	3.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	42	561
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.5%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	2	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.5%	6.3%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	285	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	95.0%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	5.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	575
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	96.0%
	Yes	Count	3	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	4.0%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	278	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.6%	89.0%
	Yes	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.4%	11.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	554
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	92.5%
	Yes	Count	3	45
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	7.5%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	251	112
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	85.4%	72.7%
	Yes	Count	43	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	14.6%	27.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	65.0%
	Yes	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	35.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	7	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	25.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	31	468
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.5%	78.1%
	Yes	Count	13	131
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.5%	21.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	229	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.9%	79.2%
	Yes	Count	65	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.1%	20.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	24	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	8	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	40.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	90.6%
	Yes	Count	7	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	9.4%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	38	470
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	86.4%	78.5%
	Yes	Count	6	129
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	13.6%	21.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	263	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.5%	89.6%
	Yes	Count	31	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.5%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	43	542
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.7%	90.5%
	Yes	Count	1	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.3%	9.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	282	143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%	7.1%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	567
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	94.7%
	Yes	Count	3	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	5.3%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	261	135
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.8%	87.7%
	Yes	Count	33	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.2%	12.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	35	522
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.1%
	Yes	Count	9	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.9%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	293	128
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.7%	83.1%
	Yes	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.3%	16.9%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	20	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	12	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	17	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	6	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	527
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.2%	88.0%
	Yes	Count	14	72
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.8%	12.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	102	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.7%	20.8%
	Yes	Count	192	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.3%	79.2%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	13	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.6%	30.0%
	Yes	Count	19	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.4%	70.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	2	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.7%	34.4%
	Yes	Count	21	21
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.3%	65.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	185
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%	30.9%
	Yes	Count	25	414
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%	69.1%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	257	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.4%	89.6%
	yes	Count	37	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.6%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	30	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	70.0%
	yes	Count	2	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Death of a family member	no	Count	40	524
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%	87.5%
	yes	Count	4	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%	12.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	206	117	25	14
	Yes	88	37	7	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	14	27	36	439
	Yes	9	5	8	160
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	239	105	21	15
	Yes	55	49	11	5
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	14	23	26	443
	Yes	9	9	18	156
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	275	145	32	17
	Yes	19	9	0	3
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	19	31	42	561
	Yes	4	1	2	38
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	285	145	30	19
	Yes	9	9	2	1
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	23	32	41	575
	Yes	0	0	3	24
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	278	137	28	18
	Yes	16	17	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	41	554
	Yes	1	2	3	45
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	251	112	21	13
	Yes	43	42	11	7
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	16	24	31	468
	Yes	7	8	13	131
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	229	122	24	12
	Yes	65	32	8	8
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	16	29	38	470
	Yes	7	3	6	129
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	263	138	30	16
	Yes	31	16	2	4
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	43	542
	Yes	1	2	1	57
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	282	143	30	18
	Yes	12	11	2	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	23	30	41	567
	Yes	0	2	3	32
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	261	135	28	18
	Yes	33	19	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	19	26	35	522
	Yes	4	6	9	77
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.



Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	293	128	20	16
	Yes	1	26	12	4
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	17	23	30	527
	Yes	6	9	14	72
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?
*** Category of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	102	32	13	6
	Yes	192	122	19	14
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	2	11	19	185
	Yes	21	21	25	414
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.



Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	257	138	30	14
	yes	37	16	2	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	19	26	40	524
	yes	4	6	4	75
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

Bar Chart



“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	151	25.2%	448	74.8%	599	100.0%
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	135	22.5%	464	77.5%	599	100.0%
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	38	6.3%	561	93.7%	599	100.0%
How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	25	4.2%	574	95.8%	599	100.0%
How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	45	7.5%	554	92.5%	599	100.0%
How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	117	19.5%	482	80.5%	599	100.0%
How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	123	20.5%	476	79.5%	599	100.0%
How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	56	9.3%	543	90.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	32	5.3%	567	94.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	66	11.0%	533	89.0%	599	100.0%
How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	67	11.2%	532	88.8%	599	100.0%

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	342	57.1%	257	42.9%	599	100.0%
How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit	68	11.4%	531	88.6%	599	100.0%

How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	1	0	0
	1	48	14	6	3
	2	16	3	1	0
	3	9	10	0	2
	4	3	6	0	0
	5	2	1	0	0
	6	2	0	0	0
	7	1	0	0	0
	12	1	0	0	0
Total		82	35	7	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	0	1	2
	1	3	1	4	79
	2	2	0	3	25
	3	3	1	1	26
	4	0	1	0	10
	5	0	0	0	3
	6	1	0	0	3
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	2
Total		9	4	9	151

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	62.434 ^a	48	.079
Likelihood Ratio	49.183	48	.426
Linear-by-Linear Association	.612	1	.434
N of Valid Cases	151		

a. 56 cells (88.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	3	0	0	0
	1	21	25	3	1
	2	8	9	3	0
	3	11	6	2	1
	4	2	4	0	1
	5	2	1	1	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		47	47	9	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	0	0	1	4
	1	1	4	7	62
	2	4	1	6	31
	3	1	0	1	22
	4	0	1	0	8
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	2
Total		7	6	16	135

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.139 ^a	42	.422
Likelihood Ratio	41.311	42	.501
Linear-by-Linear Association	.250	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	135		

a. 49 cells (87.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	15	5	0	4
	2	0	1	1	0
	3	1	2	1	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	9	0	1	0	0
Total		18	9	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	1	3
	1	1	2	27
	2	0	0	2
	3	0	0	4
	6	0	0	1
	9	0	0	1
Total		2	3	38

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.416 ^a	25	.209
Likelihood Ratio	25.168	25	.453
Linear-by-Linear Association	.950	1	.330
N of Valid Cases	38		

a. 34 cells (94.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did flood happen ?	0	2	0	0	0
	1	7	8	2	1
	2	0	1	0	0
Total		9	9	2	1

Crosstab

Count


		Category of...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did flood happen ?	0	1	3
	1	3	21
	2	0	1
Total		4	25

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.762 ^a	8	.783
Likelihood Ratio	6.170	8	.628
Linear-by-Linear Association	.191	1	.662
N of Valid Cases	25		

a. 13 cells (86.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.



How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	14	13	4	2
	2	0	2	0	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	11	1	0	0	0
Total		16	17	4	2

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	0	1	0	2
	1	1	2	2	38
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	1
Total		1	3	2	45

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.823 ^a	24	.894
Likelihood Ratio	15.082	24	.918
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.063	1	.302
N of Valid Cases	45		

a. 33 cells (94.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did drought happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	27	30	10	6
	2	2	4	0	1
	3	3	2	0	0
	4	1	0	0	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	8	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
	20	0	0	0	0
Total		36	39	10	7

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did drought happen ?	0	0	1	1	5
	1	6	6	8	93
	2	0	0	0	7
	3	0	1	0	6
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	1
	8	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	1	2
	20	0	0	1	1
Total		6	8	11	117

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.709 ^a	48	.955
Likelihood Ratio	30.956	48	.973
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.470	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	117		

a. 57 cells (90.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did illness happen ?	0	3	2	0	0
	1	32	14	4	5
	2	5	5	0	1
	3	1	2	1	0
	4	5	3	0	0
	5	1	1	0	0
	6	1	2	1	0
	12	10	5	2	0
Total		58	34	8	6

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did illness happen ?	0	0	0	1	6
	1	2	1	5	63
	2	1	0	0	12
	3	0	0	0	4
	4	1	0	1	10
	5	1	0	0	3
	6	0	0	0	4
	12	2	2	0	21
Total		7	3	7	123

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.468 ^a	42	.883
Likelihood Ratio	33.277	42	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.938
N of Valid Cases	123		

a. 51 cells (91.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	25	12	2	3
	2	1	1	0	0
	3	2	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	0
	6	1	1	0	0
Total		30	17	2	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	46
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	3
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	2
Total		1	2	1	56

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.253 ^a	30	1.000
Likelihood Ratio	6.648	30	1.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.356	1	.551
N of Valid Cases	56		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total live stock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	1	0	0	2
	1	6	4	1	1
	2	1	3	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	1
	6	1	0	1	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0
	12	2	1	0	0
Total		12	10	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	0	0	3
	1	1	0	13
	2	0	0	4
	3	0	0	1
	4	0	0	2
	6	0	0	2
	8	0	0	1
	10	0	1	1
	12	0	2	5
Total		1	3	32

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.826 ^a	40	.243
Likelihood Ratio	37.154	40	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.174	1	.075
N of Valid Cases	32		

a. 54 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	17	10	3	2
	2	5	3	1	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	4	1	1	0	0
	5	1	0	0	0
	6	0	0	0	0
Total		25	17	4	2

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	4	5	42
	2	2	0	1	12
	3	1	1	1	5
	4	0	0	0	2
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	2	2
Total		4	5	9	66

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.114 ^a	36	.823
Likelihood Ratio	27.083	36	.858
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.200	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	66		

a. 46 cells (93.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	1	13	6	1
	2	0	3	2	1
	3	0	3	1	0
	4	0	2	0	0
	5	0	1	0	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	7	0	0	0	0
	8	0	0	1	1
	11	0	1	1	0
	12	0	0	0	0
	14	0	0	0	0
Total		3	25	11	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	0	0	0	3
	1	2	4	4	31
	2	2	0	4	12
	3	1	1	0	6
	4	0	1	2	5
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	1	2
	7	0	0	1	1
	8	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	1
	14	0	1	0	1
Total		5	7	13	67

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	75.483 ^a	66	.199
Likelihood Ratio	52.752	66	.881
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.264	1	.132
N of Valid Cases	67		

a. 81 cells (96.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	0	0
	1	41	27	6	5
	2	54	45	9	3
	3	29	18	2	3
	4	8	11	1	0
	5	2	2	0	0
	6	5	3	0	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	11	0	1	0	1
	12	4	2	0	0
	24	1	0	0	0
Total		145	109	18	12

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	1	1
	1	2	6	5	92
	2	12	8	8	139
	3	1	0	6	59
	4	2	1	0	23
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	1	1	11
	8	0	1	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	1	1	0	8
	24	0	0	0	1
Total		19	18	21	342

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.684 ^a	60	.286
Likelihood Ratio	53.002	60	.727
Linear-by-Linear Association	.166	1	.684
N of Valid Cases	342		

a. 63 cells (81.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How many family members died in the past year	0	2	0	0	0
	1	26	15	1	3
	2	2	0	1	1
	3	2	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		32	16	2	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How many family members died in the past year	0	0	0	0	2
	1	4	4	3	56
	2	0	1	1	6
	3	0	0	0	2
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	0	1
Total		4	5	4	68

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.796 ^a	30	.476
Likelihood Ratio	22.640	30	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	68		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	180	30.1%	419	69.9%	599	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.1%
Total		Count	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.4%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total		Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	11	146
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.8%	81.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.3%	18.9%
Total		Count	16	180
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.691 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.228	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.529	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	180		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.70.

“SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... 0 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling assets	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
selling assets	No	Count	15	169
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	96.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	3.4%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.677 ^a	6	.720
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	.680	1	.410
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	62	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.8%
Total		Count	78	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
use savings	No	Count	8	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	15.4%
Total	Count		10	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
use savings	No	Count	15	149
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	85.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	14.9%
Total	Count		16	175
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.565 ^a	6	.363
Likelihood Ratio	9.396	6	.152
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.987	1	.159
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.34.

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	179	29.9%	420	70.1%	599	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	35
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%
Total	Count		81
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.0%
Total	Count		40
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from family friends	No	Count	106
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	73
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.8%
Total	Count		179
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.955 ^a	6	.428
Likelihood Ratio	6.285	6	.392
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.356	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	179		

a. 3 cells (21.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.67.

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.0%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	162
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.123 ^a	6	.793
Likelihood Ratio	4.217	6	.647
Linear-by-Linear Association	.296	1	.586
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .62.

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.3%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	164
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.7%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.396 ^a	6	.758
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.376	1	.241
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	65	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%	62.0%
	Yes	Count	37	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%	38.0%
Total	Count		102	50
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		9	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	72.7%	69.2%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.3%	30.8%
Total	Count		11	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	16	142
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	2	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	33.3%
Total	Count		18	213
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.807 ^a	6	.445
Likelihood Ratio	6.640	6	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.524	1	.060
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.00.

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
additional work	No	Count	17	196
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%	92.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%	7.5%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.220 ^a	6	.516
Likelihood Ratio	4.939	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	.088	1	.767
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .68.

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	97
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	47
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%
Total	Count		18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
migrate to find work	No	Count	204
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total	Count		212
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.214 ^a	6	.899
Likelihood Ratio	3.786	6	.706
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	67
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.0%
Total		Count	103
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	67.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	32.7%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce spending	No	Count	15	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	83.3%	69.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	16.7%	31.0%
Total		Count	18	213
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.474 ^a	6	.613
Likelihood Ratio	4.779	6	.572
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.190	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.79.

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.8%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		22.2%
Total		Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		80.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		20.0%
Total		Count
		10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		18.2%
Total		Count
		11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		69.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		30.8%
Total		Count
		13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...
		more than 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		83.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		16.7%
Total		Count
		18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		67.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		69
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		32.5%
Total		Count
		212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.786 ^a	6	.448
Likelihood Ratio	6.216	6	.399
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.904	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.93.

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	99	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.1%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.9%	0.0%
Total		Count	102	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	10.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce loan	No	Count	18	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	97.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	2.4%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.878 ^a	6	.247
Likelihood Ratio	7.503	6	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.291	1	.589
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.6%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
receive gifts	No	Count	18	183
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	86.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	13.7%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.169 ^a	6	.058
Likelihood Ratio	15.601	6	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.820	1	.093
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit	210	35.1%	389	64.9%	599	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
received counselling	No	Count	98
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.0%
Total		Count	101
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
received counselling	No	Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			more than 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
received counselling	No	Count	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.4%
Total		Count	210
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.285 ^a	6	.772
Likelihood Ratio	4.439	6	.618
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.633	1	.201
N of Valid Cases	210		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .13.

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit	381	63.6%	218	36.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	98	56
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	49.5%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	100	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.5%	41.7%
Total	Count		198	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	5	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		14	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	10	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	61.1%
	Yes	Count	6	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	38.9%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	16	202
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	53.0%
	Yes	Count	10	179
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	47.0%
Total	Count		26	381
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.813 ^a	6	.444
Likelihood Ratio	5.850	6	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.775	1	.183
N of Valid Cases	381		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.11.

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	105	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.4%	61.3%
	Yes	Count	88	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.6%	38.7%
Total	Count		193	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	55.6%
	Yes	Count	4	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	44.4%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	19	215
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.1%	57.6%
	Yes	Count	7	158
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.9%	42.4%
Total		Count	26	373
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.860 ^a	6	.334
Likelihood Ratio	7.055	6	.316
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.316	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.51.

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit	386	64.4%	213	35.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	129	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.5%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	65	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.5%	33.3%
Total	Count		194	99
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	4	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	33.3%
Total	Count		16	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	11	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	57.9%
	Yes	Count	6	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	42.1%
Total	Count		17	19
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	17	256
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.4%	66.3%
	Yes	Count	9	130
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.6%	33.7%
Total	Count		26	386
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.182 ^a	6	.978
Likelihood Ratio	1.190	6	.977
Linear-by-Linear Association	.176	1	.675
N of Valid Cases	386		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.05.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	109	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.9%	52.7%
	Yes	Count	86	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.1%	47.3%
Total	Count		195	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.9%	41.7%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.1%	58.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	9	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	17.6%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	16	206
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	55.2%
	Yes	Count	10	167
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	44.8%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.367 ^a	6	.212
Likelihood Ratio	8.895	6	.180
Linear-by-Linear Association	.683	1	.409
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.37.

“EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	189	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	91.1%
	yes	Count	2	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	191	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	25	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	96.7%
	yes	Count	1	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	3.3%
Total		Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.173 ^a	6	.028
Likelihood Ratio	13.758	6	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.868
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	185	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	95.6%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	4.4%
Total	Count		191	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	0	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.3%
Total	Count		26	364
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.338 ^a	6	.765
Likelihood Ratio	4.773	6	.573
Linear-by-Linear Association	.376	1	.540
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	369	61.6%	230	38.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	174	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.6%	93.5%
	Yes	Count	18	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.4%	6.5%
Total	Count		192	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.4%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.6%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	25	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	92.1%
	Yes	Count	1	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	7.9%
Total		Count	26	369
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.843 ^a	6	.698
Likelihood Ratio	4.933	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.367	1	.242
N of Valid Cases	369		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit	366	61.1%	233	38.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	180	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	93.4%
	Yes	Count	12	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	6.6%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	83.3%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	25	343
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	1	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	6.3%
Total	Count		26	366
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.354 ^a	6	.763
Likelihood Ratio	3.566	6	.735
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861
N of Valid Cases	366		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .75.

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit	396	66.1%	203	33.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	119	60
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.8%	59.4%
	Yes	Count	87	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.2%	40.6%
Total	Count		206	101
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	41.7%
Total	Count		17	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	77.8%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	22.2%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	21	244
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	61.6%
	Yes	Count	6	152
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	38.4%
Total		Count	27	396
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.736 ^a	6	.189
Likelihood Ratio	9.336	6	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.623	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.61.

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	151	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.4%	82.6%
	Yes	Count	44	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.6%	17.4%
Total	Count		195	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	5	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	33.3%
Total	Count		15	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	14	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	1	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	17.6%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	22	295
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%	79.3%
	Yes	Count	4	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%	20.7%
Total	Count		26	372
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.995 ^a	6	.424
Likelihood Ratio	6.250	6	.396
Linear-by-Linear Association	.921	1	.337
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.48.

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	189	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.4%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.6%	0.0%
Total	Count		192	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	26	362
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	99.2%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.8%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.726 ^a	6	.842
Likelihood Ratio	3.877	6	.693
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.316	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit	367	61.3%	232	38.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	190	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	94.5%
	Yes	Count	2	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	5.5%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.9%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.1%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	24	354
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	96.5%
	Yes	Count	2	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	3.5%
Total	Count		26	367
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.870 ^a	6	.092
Likelihood Ratio	10.819	6	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.551	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	367		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	160	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.5%	82.8%
	yes	Count	34	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.5%	17.2%
Total	Count		194	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	76.9%
	yes	Count	4	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	23.1%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	14	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	21	309
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	83.1%
	yes	Count	5	63
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	16.9%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.839 ^a	6	.441
Likelihood Ratio	8.436	6	.208
Linear-by-Linear Association	.521	1	.470
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.20.

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	183	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.3%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.7%	2.2%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.9%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.1%	0.0%
Total	Count		14	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.4%
	yes	Count	0	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.6%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.588 ^a	6	.598
Likelihood Ratio	6.268	6	.394
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.119	1	.290
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit	415	69.3%	184	30.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	97	58
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.1%	56.9%
	Yes	Count	118	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.9%	43.1%
Total	Count		215	102
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	53.8%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	46.2%
Total	Count		16	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.8%	36.4%
	Yes	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	41.2%	63.6%
Total		Count	17	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	14	203
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	48.9%
	Yes	Count	16	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	51.1%
Total		Count	30	415
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.407 ^a	6	.379
Likelihood Ratio	6.437	6	.376
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.948
N of Valid Cases	415		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.36.

“FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	374	62.4%	225	37.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	179	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.4%	93.4%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.6%	6.6%
Total		Count	198	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total		Count	13	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	11.1%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	21	338
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	90.4%
	yes	Count	5	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	9.6%
Total		Count	26	374
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.468 ^a	6	.614
Likelihood Ratio	3.970	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.595	1	.207
N of Valid Cases	374		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.25.

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	193	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		197	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit		
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	15	17	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	26	365
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.769 ^a	6	.940
Likelihood Ratio	3.076	6	.799
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.380	1	.240
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	192	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	25	360
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	97.3%
	Yes	Count	1	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	2.7%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.902 ^a	6	.690
Likelihood Ratio	3.351	6	.764
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.750	1	.186
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	377	62.9%	222	37.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	195	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.5%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.5%	4.3%
Total	Count	196	94
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	11	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	16.7%
Total	Count	12	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%
	yes	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%
Total		Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	Total
		more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.0%
	yes	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.0%
Total		Count	27
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.498 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	72.043	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	85.238	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	377		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	176	83
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.3%	90.2%
	yes	Count	21	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.7%	9.8%
Total	Count		197	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	10	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.4%	81.8%
	yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count		14	11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	15	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.2%
	yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.8%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	25	333
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	89.5%
	yes	Count	1	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	10.5%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.637 ^a	6	.195
Likelihood Ratio	9.068	6	.170
Linear-by-Linear Association	.607	1	.436
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	177	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.3%	93.5%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.7%	6.5%
Total	Count		196	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	24	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.6%
	yes	Count	2	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.4%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.471 ^a	6	.748
Likelihood Ratio	4.524	6	.606
Linear-by-Linear Association	.495	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.00.

“DEPENDEN ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	186	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.9%	96.7%
	yes	Count	10	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	196	92
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“DEPENDENT ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	25	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	4.3%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.390 ^a	6	.881
Likelihood Ratio	3.496	6	.744
Linear-by-Linear Association	.128	1	.720
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit	382	63.8%	217	36.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	147	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.5%	80.2%
	yes	Count	53	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.5%	19.8%
Total	Count		200	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	9	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	91.7%
	yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	8.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	13	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	3	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%	11.1%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	20	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	76.7%
	yes	Count	6	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	23.3%
Total	Count		26	382
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.201 ^a	6	.401
Likelihood Ratio	6.710	6	.349
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.604	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	382		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	192	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	98.9%
	yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	1.1%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	370
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.529 ^a	6	.606
Likelihood Ratio	4.249	6	.643
Linear-by-Linear Association	.919	1	.338
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	185	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.4%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.6%	2.2%
Total	Count		194	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	10	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	92.3%
	yes	Count	3	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	7.7%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	26	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	95.9%
	yes	Count	0	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	4.1%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.006 ^a	6	.014
Likelihood Ratio	12.377	6	.054
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.164	1	.281
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .53.

“TOTAL STRESSES AND SHOCKS BY total livestock units”

Oneway

Descriptives

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
total stresses	0 total Livestock units	2	4.0000	.00000	.00000
	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	2	4.0000	.00000	.00000
	more than 10 total livestock units	1	4.0000	.	.
	Total	7	4.0000	.00000	.00000

Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
total stresses	0 total Livestock units	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00
	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00
	more than 10 total livestock units	.	.	4.00	4.00
	Total	4.0000	4.0000	4.00	4.00

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
total stresses	Between Groups	.000	4	.000	.	.
	Within Groups	.000	2	.000		
	Total	.000	6			

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	150	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.5%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	79	50
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.5%	43.5%
Total	Count		229	115
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	14	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		18	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
Total	Count		18	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	28	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.4%	65.1%
	Yes	Count	6	157
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.6%	34.9%
Total	Count		34	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.032 ^a	6	.123
Likelihood Ratio	10.548	6	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.382	1	.066
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.23.

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	545	91.0%	54	9.0%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	59	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.8%
	Yes	Count	211	99
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	69.2%
Total	Count		270	143
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	8	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.6%	18.8%
	Yes	Count	19	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.4%	81.3%
Total	Count		27	16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	19.4%
	Yes	Count	13	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	80.6%
Total	Count		19	31
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	11	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.2%	25.1%
	Yes	Count	28	408
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.8%	74.9%
Total	Count		39	545
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.760 ^a	6	.451
Likelihood Ratio	5.723	6	.455
Linear-by-Linear Association	.301	1	.583
N of Valid Cases	545		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.02.

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Liverstock units	Between 0 and 1 total Liverstock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	173	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	74.2%	86.4%
	Yes	Count	60	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.8%	13.6%
Total	Count		233	110
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Liverstock units	Between 3 and 5 total Liverstock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.6%	93.3%
	Yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.4%	6.7%
Total	Count		17	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.9%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.1%	10.0%
Total	Count		19	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	32	359
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	79.8%
	Yes	Count	4	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	20.2%
Total	Count		36	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.127 ^a	6	.041
Likelihood Ratio	14.049	6	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.800	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.03.

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	436	72.8%	163	27.2%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	204	96
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.1%	89.7%
	Yes	Count	20	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.9%	10.3%
Total	Count		224	107
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.2%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.8%	7.1%
Total	Count		17	14
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.1%	81.0%
	Yes	Count	1	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.9%	19.0%
Total	Count		17	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	33	394
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	90.4%
	Yes	Count	3	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	9.6%
Total	Count		36	436
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.850 ^a	6	.827
Likelihood Ratio	2.474	6	.871
Linear-by-Linear Association	.167	1	.682
N of Valid Cases	436		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.35.

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit	487	81.3%	112	18.7%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	104	48
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.6%	37.8%
	Yes	Count	140	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.4%	62.2%
Total		Count	244	127
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.5%	46.7%
	Yes	Count	12	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.5%	53.3%
Total		Count	22	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	52.6%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	9	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	47.4%	66.7%
Total		Count	19	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	23	210
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.9%	43.1%
	Yes	Count	13	277
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.1%	56.9%
Total		Count	36	487
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.588 ^a	6	.143
Likelihood Ratio	9.562	6	.144
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.064	1	.080
N of Valid Cases	487		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.47.

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit	490	81.8%	109	18.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	101	52
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	42.3%
	Yes	Count	146	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	57.7%
Total	Count		247	123
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	66.7%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	6	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	64.0%
	Yes	Count	13	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	36.0%
Total		Count	19	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	20	209
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	51.3%	42.7%
	Yes	Count	19	281
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	48.7%	57.3%
Total		Count	39	490
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.678 ^a	6	.263
Likelihood Ratio	7.656	6	.264
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.440	1	.118
N of Valid Cases	490		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.40.

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit	466	77.8%	133	22.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	138	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.0%	65.3%
	Yes	Count	100	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.0%	34.7%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	238	118
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	18	14	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	6	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	43.5%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	18	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	26	288
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.3%	61.8%
	Yes	Count	10	177
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.0%	38.0%
	5	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.7%	0.2%
Total	Count		37	466
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.493 ^a	12	.170
Likelihood Ratio	10.083	12	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.741
N of Valid Cases	466		

a. 7 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	206	117
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.1%	76.0%
	Yes	Count	88	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.9%	24.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	25	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	70.0%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	27
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	84.4%
	Yes	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	15.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	36	439
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%	73.3%
	Yes	Count	8	160
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%	26.7%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	239	105
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	68.2%
	Yes	Count	55	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.7%	31.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	11	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	25.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	26	443
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	74.0%
	Yes	Count	18	156
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	26.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	275	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.5%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	19	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.5%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	32	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	85.0%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	15.0%
Total		Count	32	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	19	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	96.9%
	Yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	3.1%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	42	561
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.5%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	2	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.5%	6.3%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	285	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	95.0%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	5.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	575
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	96.0%
	Yes	Count	3	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	4.0%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	278	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.6%	89.0%
	Yes	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.4%	11.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	554
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	92.5%
	Yes	Count	3	45
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	7.5%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	251	112
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	85.4%	72.7%
	Yes	Count	43	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	14.6%	27.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	65.0%
	Yes	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	35.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	7	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	25.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	31	468
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.5%	78.1%
	Yes	Count	13	131
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.5%	21.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	229	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.9%	79.2%
	Yes	Count	65	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.1%	20.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	24	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	8	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	40.0%
Total		Count	32	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	90.6%
	Yes	Count	7	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	9.4%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	38	470
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	86.4%	78.5%
	Yes	Count	6	129
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	13.6%	21.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	263	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.5%	89.6%
	Yes	Count	31	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.5%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	43	542
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.7%	90.5%
	Yes	Count	1	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.3%	9.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	282	143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%	7.1%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	567
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	94.7%
	Yes	Count	3	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	5.3%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	261	135
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.8%	87.7%
	Yes	Count	33	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.2%	12.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	35	522
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.1%
	Yes	Count	9	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	293	128
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.7%	83.1%
	Yes	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.3%	16.9%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	20	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	12	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	17	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	6	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	527
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.2%	88.0%
	Yes	Count	14	72
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.8%	12.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	102	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.7%	20.8%
	Yes	Count	192	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.3%	79.2%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	13	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.6%	30.0%
	Yes	Count	19	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.4%	70.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	2	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.7%	34.4%
	Yes	Count	21	21
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.3%	65.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	185
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%	30.9%
	Yes	Count	25	414
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%	69.1%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	257	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.4%	89.6%
	yes	Count	37	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.6%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	30	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	70.0%
	yes	Count	2	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units”

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	Count	40	524
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%	87.5%
	yes	Count	4	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%	12.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	206	117	25	14
	Yes	88	37	7	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	14	27	36	439
	Yes	9	5	8	160
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	239	105	21	15
	Yes	55	49	11	5
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	14	23	26	443
	Yes	9	9	18	156
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	275	145	32	17
	Yes	19	9	0	3
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	19	31	42	561
	Yes	4	1	2	38
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	285	145	30	19
	Yes	9	9	2	1
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	23	32	41	575
	Yes	0	0	3	24
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	278	137	28	18
	Yes	16	17	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	41	554
	Yes	1	2	3	45
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	251	112	21	13
	Yes	43	42	11	7
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	16	24	31	468
	Yes	7	8	13	131
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	229	122	24	12
	Yes	65	32	8	8
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	16	29	38	470
	Yes	7	3	6	129
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	263	138	30	16
	Yes	31	16	2	4
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	43	542
	Yes	1	2	1	57
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	282	143	30	18
	Yes	12	11	2	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	23	30	41	567
	Yes	0	2	3	32
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	261	135	28	18
	Yes	33	19	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	19	26	35	522
	Yes	4	6	9	77
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.



Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	293	128	20	16
	Yes	1	26	12	4
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	17	23	30	527
	Yes	6	9	14	72
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	102	32	13	6
	Yes	192	122	19	14
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	2	11	19	185
	Yes	21	21	25	414
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.



Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	257	138	30	14
	yes	37	16	2	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	19	26	40	524
	yes	4	6	4	75
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

Bar Chart



“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	151	25.2%	448	74.8%	599	100.0%
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	135	22.5%	464	77.5%	599	100.0%
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	38	6.3%	561	93.7%	599	100.0%
How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	25	4.2%	574	95.8%	599	100.0%
How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	45	7.5%	554	92.5%	599	100.0%
How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	117	19.5%	482	80.5%	599	100.0%
How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	123	20.5%	476	79.5%	599	100.0%
How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	56	9.3%	543	90.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	32	5.3%	567	94.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	66	11.0%	533	89.0%	599	100.0%
How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	67	11.2%	532	88.8%	599	100.0%

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	342	57.1%	257	42.9%	599	100.0%
How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit	68	11.4%	531	88.6%	599	100.0%

How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	1	0	0
	1	48	14	6	3
	2	16	3	1	0
	3	9	10	0	2
	4	3	6	0	0
	5	2	1	0	0
	6	2	0	0	0
	7	1	0	0	0
	12	1	0	0	0
Total		82	35	7	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	0	1	2
	1	3	1	4	79
	2	2	0	3	25
	3	3	1	1	26
	4	0	1	0	10
	5	0	0	0	3
	6	1	0	0	3
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	2
Total		9	4	9	151

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	62.434 ^a	48	.079
Likelihood Ratio	49.183	48	.426
Linear-by-Linear Association	.612	1	.434
N of Valid Cases	151		

a. 56 cells (88.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



**How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Categ
ory of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	3	0	0	0
	1	21	25	3	1
	2	8	9	3	0
	3	11	6	2	1
	4	2	4	0	1
	5	2	1	1	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		47	47	9	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	0	0	1	4
	1	1	4	7	62
	2	4	1	6	31
	3	1	0	1	22
	4	0	1	0	8
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	2
Total		7	6	16	135

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.139 ^a	42	.422
Likelihood Ratio	41.311	42	.501
Linear-by-Linear Association	.250	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	135		

a. 49 cells (87.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	15	5	0	4
	2	0	1	1	0
	3	1	2	1	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	9	0	1	0	0
Total		18	9	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	1	3
	1	1	2	27
	2	0	0	2
	3	0	0	4
	6	0	0	1
	9	0	0	1
Total		2	3	38

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.416 ^a	25	.209
Likelihood Ratio	25.168	25	.453
Linear-by-Linear Association	.950	1	.330
N of Valid Cases	38		

a. 34 cells (94.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did flood happen ?	0	2	0	0	0
	1	7	8	2	1
	2	0	1	0	0
Total		9	9	2	1

Crosstab

Count


		Category of...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did flood happen ?	0	1	3
	1	3	21
	2	0	1
Total		4	25

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.762 ^a	8	.783
Likelihood Ratio	6.170	8	.628
Linear-by-Linear Association	.191	1	.662
N of Valid Cases	25		

a. 13 cells (86.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.



How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	14	13	4	2
	2	0	2	0	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	11	1	0	0	0
Total		16	17	4	2

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	0	1	0	2
	1	1	2	2	38
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	1
Total		1	3	2	45

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.823 ^a	24	.894
Likelihood Ratio	15.082	24	.918
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.063	1	.302
N of Valid Cases	45		

a. 33 cells (94.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did drought happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	27	30	10	6
	2	2	4	0	1
	3	3	2	0	0
	4	1	0	0	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	8	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
	20	0	0	0	0
Total		36	39	10	7

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did drought happen ?	0	0	1	1	5
	1	6	6	8	93
	2	0	0	0	7
	3	0	1	0	6
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	1
	8	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	1	2
	20	0	0	1	1
Total		6	8	11	117

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.709 ^a	48	.955
Likelihood Ratio	30.956	48	.973
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.470	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	117		

a. 57 cells (90.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did illness happen ?	0	3	2	0	0
	1	32	14	4	5
	2	5	5	0	1
	3	1	2	1	0
	4	5	3	0	0
	5	1	1	0	0
	6	1	2	1	0
	12	10	5	2	0
Total		58	34	8	6

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did illness happen ?	0	0	0	1	6
	1	2	1	5	63
	2	1	0	0	12
	3	0	0	0	4
	4	1	0	1	10
	5	1	0	0	3
	6	0	0	0	4
	12	2	2	0	21
Total		7	3	7	123

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.468 ^a	42	.883
Likelihood Ratio	33.277	42	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.938
N of Valid Cases	123		

a. 51 cells (91.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	25	12	2	3
	2	1	1	0	0
	3	2	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	0
	6	1	1	0	0
Total		30	17	2	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	46
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	3
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	2
Total		1	2	1	56

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.253 ^a	30	1.000
Likelihood Ratio	6.648	30	1.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.356	1	.551
N of Valid Cases	56		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total live stock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	1	0	0	2
	1	6	4	1	1
	2	1	3	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	1
	6	1	0	1	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0
	12	2	1	0	0
Total		12	10	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	0	0	3
	1	1	0	13
	2	0	0	4
	3	0	0	1
	4	0	0	2
	6	0	0	2
	8	0	0	1
	10	0	1	1
	12	0	2	5
Total		1	3	32

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.826 ^a	40	.243
Likelihood Ratio	37.154	40	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.174	1	.075
N of Valid Cases	32		

a. 54 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	17	10	3	2
	2	5	3	1	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	4	1	1	0	0
	5	1	0	0	0
	6	0	0	0	0
Total		25	17	4	2

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	4	5	42
	2	2	0	1	12
	3	1	1	1	5
	4	0	0	0	2
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	2	2
Total		4	5	9	66

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.114 ^a	36	.823
Likelihood Ratio	27.083	36	.858
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.200	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	66		

a. 46 cells (93.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	1	13	6	1
	2	0	3	2	1
	3	0	3	1	0
	4	0	2	0	0
	5	0	1	0	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	7	0	0	0	0
	8	0	0	1	1
	11	0	1	1	0
	12	0	0	0	0
	14	0	0	0	0
Total		3	25	11	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	0	0	0	3
	1	2	4	4	31
	2	2	0	4	12
	3	1	1	0	6
	4	0	1	2	5
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	1	2
	7	0	0	1	1
	8	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	1
	14	0	1	0	1
Total		5	7	13	67

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	75.483 ^a	66	.199
Likelihood Ratio	52.752	66	.881
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.264	1	.132
N of Valid Cases	67		

a. 81 cells (96.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	0	0
	1	41	27	6	5
	2	54	45	9	3
	3	29	18	2	3
	4	8	11	1	0
	5	2	2	0	0
	6	5	3	0	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	11	0	1	0	1
	12	4	2	0	0
	24	1	0	0	0
Total		145	109	18	12

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	1	1
	1	2	6	5	92
	2	12	8	8	139
	3	1	0	6	59
	4	2	1	0	23
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	1	1	11
	8	0	1	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	1	1	0	8
	24	0	0	0	1
Total		19	18	21	342

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.684 ^a	60	.286
Likelihood Ratio	53.002	60	.727
Linear-by-Linear Association	.166	1	.684
N of Valid Cases	342		

a. 63 cells (81.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How many family members died in the past year	0	2	0	0	0
	1	26	15	1	3
	2	2	0	1	1
	3	2	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		32	16	2	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How many family members died in the past year	0	0	0	0	2
	1	4	4	3	56
	2	0	1	1	6
	3	0	0	0	2
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	0	1
Total		4	5	4	68

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.796 ^a	30	.476
Likelihood Ratio	22.640	30	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	68		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	180	30.1%	419	69.9%	599	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.1%
Total		Count	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.4%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total		Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
selling livestock	No	Count	11	146
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.8%	81.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.3%	18.9%
Total		Count	16	180
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.691 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.228	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.529	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	180		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.70.

“SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... 0 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling assets	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
selling assets	No	Count	15	169
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	96.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	3.4%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.677 ^a	6	.720
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	.680	1	.410
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	62	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.8%
Total		Count	78	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
use savings	No	Count	8	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	15.4%
Total	Count		10	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
use savings	No	Count	15	149
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	85.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	14.9%
Total	Count		16	175
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.565 ^a	6	.363
Likelihood Ratio	9.396	6	.152
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.987	1	.159
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.34.

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	179	29.9%	420	70.1%	599	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	35
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%
Total		Count	81
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.0%
Total		Count	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from family friends	No	Count	106
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	73
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.8%
Total	Count		179
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.955 ^a	6	.428
Likelihood Ratio	6.285	6	.392
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.356	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	179		

a. 3 cells (21.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.67.

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.0%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	162
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.123 ^a	6	.793
Likelihood Ratio	4.217	6	.647
Linear-by-Linear Association	.296	1	.586
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .62.

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.3%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total	
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	164
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.7%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.396 ^a	6	.758
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.376	1	.241
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	65	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%	62.0%
	Yes	Count	37	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%	38.0%
Total	Count		102	50
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		9	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	72.7%	69.2%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.3%	30.8%
Total	Count		11	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	16	142
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	2	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	33.3%
Total	Count		18	213
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.807 ^a	6	.445
Likelihood Ratio	6.640	6	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.524	1	.060
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.00.

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Tota livestock Unit	100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
additional work	No	Count	17	196
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%	92.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%	7.5%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.220 ^a	6	.516
Likelihood Ratio	4.939	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	.088	1	.767
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .68.

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	97
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	47
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%
Total	Count		18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
migrate to find work	No	Count	204
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total	Count		212
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.214 ^a	6	.899
Likelihood Ratio	3.786	6	.706
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... 0 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	67
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.0%
Total		Count	103
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	67.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	32.7%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce spending	No	Count	15	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	83.3%	69.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	16.7%	31.0%
Total		Count	18	213
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.474 ^a	6	.613
Likelihood Ratio	4.779	6	.572
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.190	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.79.

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.8%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		22.2%
Total		Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		80.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		20.0%
Total		Count
		10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		18.2%
Total		Count
		11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		69.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		30.8%
Total		Count
		13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...
		more than 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		83.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		16.7%
Total		Count
		18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		67.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		69
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		32.5%
Total		Count
		212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.786 ^a	6	.448
Likelihood Ratio	6.216	6	.399
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.904	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.93.

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	99	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.1%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.9%	0.0%
Total		Count	102	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	10.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce loan	No	Count	18	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	97.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	2.4%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.878 ^a	6	.247
Likelihood Ratio	7.503	6	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.291	1	.589
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.6%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
receive gifts	No	Count	18	183
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	86.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	13.7%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.169 ^a	6	.058
Likelihood Ratio	15.601	6	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.820	1	.093
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit	210	35.1%	389	64.9%	599	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
received counselling	No	Count	98
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.0%
Total		Count	101
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
received counselling	No	Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
received counselling	No	Count	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.4%
Total	Count		210
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.285 ^a	6	.772
Likelihood Ratio	4.439	6	.618
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.633	1	.201
N of Valid Cases	210		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .13.

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit	381	63.6%	218	36.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	98	56
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	49.5%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	100	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.5%	41.7%
Total	Count		198	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	5	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		14	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	10	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	61.1%
	Yes	Count	6	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	38.9%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	16	202
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	53.0%
	Yes	Count	10	179
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	47.0%
Total	Count		26	381
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.813 ^a	6	.444
Likelihood Ratio	5.850	6	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.775	1	.183
N of Valid Cases	381		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.11.

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	105	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.4%	61.3%
	Yes	Count	88	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.6%	38.7%
Total	Count		193	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	55.6%
	Yes	Count	4	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	44.4%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	19	215
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.1%	57.6%
	Yes	Count	7	158
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.9%	42.4%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.860 ^a	6	.334
Likelihood Ratio	7.055	6	.316
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.316	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.51.

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit	386	64.4%	213	35.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	129	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.5%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	65	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.5%	33.3%
Total	Count		194	99
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	4	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	33.3%
Total	Count		16	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	11	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	57.9%
	Yes	Count	6	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	42.1%
Total	Count		17	19
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	17	256
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.4%	66.3%
	Yes	Count	9	130
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.6%	33.7%
Total	Count		26	386
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.182 ^a	6	.978
Likelihood Ratio	1.190	6	.977
Linear-by-Linear Association	.176	1	.675
N of Valid Cases	386		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.05.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	109	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.9%	52.7%
	Yes	Count	86	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.1%	47.3%
Total	Count		195	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.9%	41.7%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.1%	58.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	9	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	17.6%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	16	206
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	55.2%
	Yes	Count	10	167
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	44.8%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.367 ^a	6	.212
Likelihood Ratio	8.895	6	.180
Linear-by-Linear Association	.683	1	.409
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.37.

“EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	189	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	91.1%
	yes	Count	2	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	191	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	25	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	96.7%
	yes	Count	1	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	3.3%
Total		Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.173 ^a	6	.028
Likelihood Ratio	13.758	6	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.868
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	185	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	95.6%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	4.4%
Total	Count		191	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	0	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.3%
Total	Count		26	364
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.338 ^a	6	.765
Likelihood Ratio	4.773	6	.573
Linear-by-Linear Association	.376	1	.540
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	369	61.6%	230	38.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	174	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.6%	93.5%
	Yes	Count	18	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.4%	6.5%
Total	Count		192	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.4%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.6%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	25	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	92.1%
	Yes	Count	1	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	7.9%
Total		Count	26	369
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.843 ^a	6	.698
Likelihood Ratio	4.933	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.367	1	.242
N of Valid Cases	369		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit	366	61.1%	233	38.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	180	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	93.4%
	Yes	Count	12	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	6.6%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	83.3%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	25	343
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	1	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	6.3%
Total	Count		26	366
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.354 ^a	6	.763
Likelihood Ratio	3.566	6	.735
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861
N of Valid Cases	366		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .75.

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit	396	66.1%	203	33.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	119	60
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.8%	59.4%
	Yes	Count	87	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.2%	40.6%
Total		Count	206	101
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	41.7%
Total		Count	17	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	77.8%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	22.2%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	21	244
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	61.6%
	Yes	Count	6	152
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	38.4%
Total		Count	27	396
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.736 ^a	6	.189
Likelihood Ratio	9.336	6	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.623	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.61.

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	151	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.4%	82.6%
	Yes	Count	44	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.6%	17.4%
Total	Count		195	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	5	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	33.3%
Total	Count		15	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	14	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	1	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	17.6%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	22	295
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%	79.3%
	Yes	Count	4	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%	20.7%
Total	Count		26	372
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.995 ^a	6	.424
Likelihood Ratio	6.250	6	.396
Linear-by-Linear Association	.921	1	.337
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.48.

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	189	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.4%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.6%	0.0%
Total	Count		192	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	26	362
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	99.2%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.8%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.726 ^a	6	.842
Likelihood Ratio	3.877	6	.693
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.316	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit	367	61.3%	232	38.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	190	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	94.5%
	Yes	Count	2	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	5.5%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.9%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.1%
Total	Count	15	18	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	24	354
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	96.5%
	Yes	Count	2	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	3.5%
Total		Count	26	367
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.870 ^a	6	.092
Likelihood Ratio	10.819	6	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.551	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	367		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	160	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.5%	82.8%
	yes	Count	34	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.5%	17.2%
Total	Count		194	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	76.9%
	yes	Count	4	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	23.1%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	14	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	21	309
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	83.1%
	yes	Count	5	63
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	16.9%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.839 ^a	6	.441
Likelihood Ratio	8.436	6	.208
Linear-by-Linear Association	.521	1	.470
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.20.

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	183	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.3%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.7%	2.2%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.9%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.1%	0.0%
Total	Count		14	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.4%
	yes	Count	0	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.6%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.588 ^a	6	.598
Likelihood Ratio	6.268	6	.394
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.119	1	.290
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit	415	69.3%	184	30.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	97	58
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.1%	56.9%
	Yes	Count	118	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.9%	43.1%
Total	Count		215	102
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	53.8%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	46.2%
Total	Count		16	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.8%	36.4%
	Yes	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	41.2%	63.6%
Total		Count	17	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	14	203
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	48.9%
	Yes	Count	16	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	51.1%
Total		Count	30	415
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.407 ^a	6	.379
Likelihood Ratio	6.437	6	.376
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.948
N of Valid Cases	415		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.36.

“FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	374	62.4%	225	37.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	179	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.4%	93.4%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.6%	6.6%
Total	Count		198	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	11.1%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	21	338
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	90.4%
	yes	Count	5	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	9.6%
Total		Count	26	374
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.468 ^a	6	.614
Likelihood Ratio	3.970	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.595	1	.207
N of Valid Cases	374		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.25.

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	193	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		197	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	26	365
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.769 ^a	6	.940
Likelihood Ratio	3.076	6	.799
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.380	1	.240
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	192	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total		Count	196	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	25	360
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	97.3%
	Yes	Count	1	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	2.7%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.902 ^a	6	.690
Likelihood Ratio	3.351	6	.764
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.750	1	.186
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	377	62.9%	222	37.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	195	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.5%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.5%	4.3%
Total	Count	196	94
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	Count	11	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	16.7%
Total	Count	12	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%
	yes	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%
Total	Count	16	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.0%
	yes	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.0%
Total	Count	27	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.498 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	72.043	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	85.238	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	377		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	176	83
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.3%	90.2%
	yes	Count	21	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.7%	9.8%
Total	Count		197	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	10	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.4%	81.8%
	yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count		14	11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	15	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.2%
	yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.8%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	25	333
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	89.5%
	yes	Count	1	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	10.5%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.637 ^a	6	.195
Likelihood Ratio	9.068	6	.170
Linear-by-Linear Association	.607	1	.436
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	177	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.3%	93.5%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.7%	6.5%
Total	Count		196	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	24	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.6%
	yes	Count	2	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.4%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.471 ^a	6	.748
Likelihood Ratio	4.524	6	.606
Linear-by-Linear Association	.495	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.00.

“DEPENDEN ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	186	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.9%	96.7%
	yes	Count	10	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	196	92
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“DEPENDENT ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	25	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	4.3%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.390 ^a	6	.881
Likelihood Ratio	3.496	6	.744
Linear-by-Linear Association	.128	1	.720
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit	382	63.8%	217	36.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.5%
	yes	Count	53
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.5%
Total	Count	200	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%
	yes	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%
Total	Count	14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	13	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	3	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%	11.1%
Total	Count	16	18	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	20	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	76.7%
	yes	Count	6	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	23.3%
Total		Count	26	382
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.201 ^a	6	.401
Likelihood Ratio	6.710	6	.349
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.604	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	382		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	192	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	98.9%
	yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	1.1%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	370
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.529 ^a	6	.606
Likelihood Ratio	4.249	6	.643
Linear-by-Linear Association	.919	1	.338
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	185	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.4%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.6%	2.2%
Total	Count		194	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	10	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	92.3%
	yes	Count	3	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	7.7%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	26	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	95.9%
	yes	Count	0	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	4.1%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.006 ^a	6	.014
Likelihood Ratio	12.377	6	.054
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.164	1	.281
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .53.

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	150	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.5%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	79	50
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.5%	43.5%
Total	Count		229	115
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	14	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		18	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
Total	Count		18	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	28	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.4%	65.1%
	Yes	Count	6	157
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.6%	34.9%
Total	Count		34	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.032 ^a	6	.123
Likelihood Ratio	10.548	6	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.382	1	.066
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.23.

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	545	91.0%	54	9.0%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	59	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.8%
	Yes	Count	211	99
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	69.2%
Total	Count		270	143
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	8	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.6%	18.8%
	Yes	Count	19	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.4%	81.3%
Total	Count		27	16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	19.4%
	Yes	Count	13	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	80.6%
Total	Count		19	31
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	11	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.2%	25.1%
	Yes	Count	28	408
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.8%	74.9%
Total	Count		39	545
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.760 ^a	6	.451
Likelihood Ratio	5.723	6	.455
Linear-by-Linear Association	.301	1	.583
N of Valid Cases	545		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.02.

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Liverstock units	Between 0 and 1 total Liverstock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	173	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	74.2%	86.4%
	Yes	Count	60	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.8%	13.6%
Total	Count		233	110
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Liverstock units	Between 3 and 5 total Liverstock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.6%	93.3%
	Yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.4%	6.7%
Total	Count		17	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.9%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.1%	10.0%
Total	Count		19	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	32	359
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	79.8%
	Yes	Count	4	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	20.2%
Total	Count		36	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.127 ^a	6	.041
Likelihood Ratio	14.049	6	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.800	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.03.

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	436	72.8%	163	27.2%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	204	96
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.1%	89.7%
	Yes	Count	20	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.9%	10.3%
Total	Count		224	107
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.2%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.8%	7.1%
Total	Count		17	14
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.1%	81.0%
	Yes	Count	1	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.9%	19.0%
Total	Count		17	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	33	394
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	90.4%
	Yes	Count	3	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	9.6%
Total	Count		36	436
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.850 ^a	6	.827
Likelihood Ratio	2.474	6	.871
Linear-by-Linear Association	.167	1	.682
N of Valid Cases	436		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.35.

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit	487	81.3%	112	18.7%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	104	48
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.6%	37.8%
	Yes	Count	140	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.4%	62.2%
Total	Count		244	127
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.5%	46.7%
	Yes	Count	12	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.5%	53.3%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	52.6%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	9	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	47.4%	66.7%
Total		Count	19	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	23	210
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.9%	43.1%
	Yes	Count	13	277
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.1%	56.9%
Total		Count	36	487
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.588 ^a	6	.143
Likelihood Ratio	9.562	6	.144
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.064	1	.080
N of Valid Cases	487		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.47.

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit	490	81.8%	109	18.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	101	52
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	42.3%
	Yes	Count	146	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	57.7%
Total	Count		247	123
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	66.7%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	6	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	64.0%
	Yes	Count	13	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	36.0%
Total		Count	19	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	20	209
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	51.3%	42.7%
	Yes	Count	19	281
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	48.7%	57.3%
Total		Count	39	490
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.678 ^a	6	.263
Likelihood Ratio	7.656	6	.264
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.440	1	.118
N of Valid Cases	490		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.40.

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit	466	77.8%	133	22.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	138	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.0%	65.3%
	Yes	Count	100	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.0%	34.7%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	238	118	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	18	14	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	6	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	43.5%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	18	23	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	26	288
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.3%	61.8%
	Yes	Count	10	177
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.0%	38.0%
	5	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.7%	0.2%
Total	Count		37	466
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.493 ^a	12	.170
Likelihood Ratio	10.083	12	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.741
N of Valid Cases	466		

a. 7 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	206	117
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.1%	76.0%
	Yes	Count	88	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.9%	24.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	25	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	70.0%
	Yes	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASED NUMBER IN THE FAMILY BY total livestock

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	27
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	84.4%
	Yes	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	15.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	36	439
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%	73.3%
	Yes	Count	8	160
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%	26.7%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	239	105
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	68.2%
	Yes	Count	55	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.7%	31.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	11	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	25.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	14	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	39.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	26	443
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	74.0%
	Yes	Count	18	156
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	26.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“INCREASE IN FOOD PRODUCTION COSTS BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	275	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.5%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	19	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.5%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livestock

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	32	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	85.0%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	15.0%
Total		Count	32	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	19	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	96.9%
	Yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	3.1%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ CUT-OFF OR DECREASE OF GOVERNMENT GRANT BY total livesto

Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	Count	42	561
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.5%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	2	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.5%	6.3%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	285	145
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	94.2%
	Yes	Count	9	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	5.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	95.0%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	5.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ FLOOD BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	575
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	96.0%
	Yes	Count	3	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	4.0%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	278	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.6%	89.0%
	Yes	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.4%	11.0%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ STORM BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	554
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	92.5%
	Yes	Count	3	45
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	7.5%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	251	112
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	85.4%	72.7%
	Yes	Count	43	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	14.6%	27.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	21	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.6%	65.0%
	Yes	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.4%	35.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DROUGHT BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	75.0%
	Yes	Count	7	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	25.0%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	31	468
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.5%	78.1%
	Yes	Count	13	131
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.5%	21.9%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	229	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.9%	79.2%
	Yes	Count	65	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.1%	20.8%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	24	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	8	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	40.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	16	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	69.6%	90.6%
	Yes	Count	7	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.4%	9.4%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ SERIOUS INJURY OR CHRONIC ILLNESS BY total livestock uni

Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	38	470
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	86.4%	78.5%
	Yes	Count	6	129
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	13.6%	21.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	263	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.5%	89.6%
	Yes	Count	31	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.5%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	22	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.7%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.3%	6.3%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	43	542
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.7%	90.5%
	Yes	Count	1	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.3%	9.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF A JOB BREADWINNER BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	282	143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%	7.1%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ LOSS OF REMITTANCES BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	23	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	93.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	6.3%
Total		Count	23	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	41	567
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.2%	94.7%
	Yes	Count	3	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.8%	5.3%
Total		Count	44	599
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	261	135
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.8%	87.7%
	Yes	Count	33	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.2%	12.3%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	28	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%	10.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LOSS OF POSSESSIONS BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	35	522
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.1%
	Yes	Count	9	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.9%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	293	128
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.7%	83.1%
	Yes	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.3%	16.9%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	20	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	80.0%
	Yes	Count	12	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	20.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“DEATH OF LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	17	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.9%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	6	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.1%	28.1%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	30	527
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.2%	88.0%
	Yes	Count	14	72
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.8%	12.0%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	102	32
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.7%	20.8%
	Yes	Count	192	122
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.3%	79.2%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	13	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.6%	30.0%
	Yes	Count	19	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.4%	70.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FOOD COST INCREASED BY total livestock units”

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	2	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.7%	34.4%
	Yes	Count	21	21
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.3%	65.6%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	Count	19	185
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%	30.9%
	Yes	Count	25	414
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%	69.1%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.

"DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	257	138
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.4%	89.6%
	yes	Count	37	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.6%	10.4%
Total	Count		294	154
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	30	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	70.0%
	yes	Count	2	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	30.0%
Total	Count		32	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"DEATH OF A FAMILY MEMBER BY total livestock units"

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Death of a family member	no	Count	19	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.6%	81.3%
	yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.4%	18.8%
Total	Count		23	32
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

**Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Death of a family member	no	Count	40	524
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%	87.5%
	yes	Count	4	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%	12.5%
Total	Count		44	599
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%
Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit	599	100.0%	0	0.0%	599	100.0%

Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	206	117	25	14
	Yes	88	37	7	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has the number of people increased in the Hh over the last 12 months ?	No	14	27	36	439
	Yes	9	5	8	160
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.074 ^a	6	.233
Likelihood Ratio	8.298	6	.217
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.741	1	.098
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

Bar Chart



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	239	105	21	15
	Yes	55	49	11	5
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food production costs (water, rent, equipment, seeds, ...) increased over the last 12 months ?	No	14	23	26	443
	Yes	9	9	18	156
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.211 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.001	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.158	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	275	145	32	17
	Yes	19	9	0	3
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab


Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Over the last 12 months, is there any cut-off or decrease of government grant which is not a result of the death of beneficiary ?	No	19	31	42	561
	Yes	4	1	2	38
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.284 ^a	6	.113
Likelihood Ratio	10.375	6	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.27.



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	285	145	30	19
	Yes	9	9	2	1
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Flood over the last 12 months ?	No	23	32	41	575
	Yes	0	0	3	24
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.705 ^a	6	.457
Likelihood Ratio	7.589	6	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.057	1	.811
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .80.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	278	137	28	18
	Yes	16	17	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Has your Hh experienced Tornado/Storm/Cyclones over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	41	554
	Yes	1	2	3	45
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.329 ^a	6	.387
Likelihood Ratio	6.053	6	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.785
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.50.

Bar Chart



“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ? *
Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	251	112	21	13
	Yes	43	42	11	7
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has your Hh experienced Droughts over the last 12 months ?	No	16	24	31	468
	Yes	7	8	13	131
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.295 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	19.327	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.892	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.37.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	229	122	24	12
	Yes	65	32	8	8
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced serious injury or chronic illness keeping household member from doing normal activities over the last 12 months ?	No	16	29	38	470
	Yes	7	3	6	129
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.875 ^a	6	.130
Likelihood Ratio	9.960	6	.126
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.093	1	.296
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.31.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	263	138	30	16
	Yes	31	16	2	4
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of a job of the main breadwinner in the household over the last 12 months ?	No	22	30	43	542
	Yes	1	2	1	57
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.238 ^a	6	.299
Likelihood Ratio	8.012	6	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.976	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.90.

Bar Chart



"STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units"

Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	282	143	30	18
	Yes	12	11	2	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the loss of remittances over the last 12 months ?	No	23	30	41	567
	Yes	0	2	3	32
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.361 ^a	6	.628
Likelihood Ratio	5.390	6	.495
Linear-by-Linear Association	.396	1	.529
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.07.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	261	135	28	18
	Yes	33	19	4	2
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Have you experienced the loss of possessions, theft over the last 12 months ?	No	19	26	35	522
	Yes	4	6	9	77
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.567 ^a	6	.600
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	6	.654
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.945	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.57.



Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	293	128	20	16
	Yes	1	26	12	4
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Have you experienced the death of many livestock over the last 12 months ?	No	17	23	30	527
	Yes	6	9	14	72
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.680 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	105.024	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	62.432	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.40.

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”



Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	102	32	13	6
	Yes	192	122	19	14
Total		294	154	32	20

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Has food cost or food prices increases over the last 12 months ?	No	2	11	19	185
	Yes	21	21	25	414
Total		23	32	44	599

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.400 ^a	6	.004
Likelihood Ratio	20.995	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.131	1	.718
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.18.



Death of a family member * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Death of a family member	no	257	138	30	14
	yes	37	16	2	6
Total		294	154	32	20

“STRESSES AND SHOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
Death of a family member	no	19	26	40	524
	yes	4	6	4	75
Total		23	32	44	599

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.472 ^a	6	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.265	6	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.311	1	.577
N of Valid Cases	599		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

Bar Chart



“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	151	25.2%	448	74.8%	599	100.0%
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	135	22.5%	464	77.5%	599	100.0%
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	38	6.3%	561	93.7%	599	100.0%
How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	25	4.2%	574	95.8%	599	100.0%
How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	45	7.5%	554	92.5%	599	100.0%
How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	117	19.5%	482	80.5%	599	100.0%
How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	123	20.5%	476	79.5%	599	100.0%
How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	56	9.3%	543	90.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	32	5.3%	567	94.7%	599	100.0%
How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	66	11.0%	533	89.0%	599	100.0%
How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	67	11.2%	532	88.8%	599	100.0%

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit	342	57.1%	257	42.9%	599	100.0%
How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit	68	11.4%	531	88.6%	599	100.0%

How often did increased in the number of people happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	1	0	0
	1	48	14	6	3
	2	16	3	1	0
	3	9	10	0	2
	4	3	6	0	0
	5	2	1	0	0
	6	2	0	0	0
	7	1	0	0	0
	12	1	0	0	0
Total		82	35	7	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did increased in the number of people happen ?	0	0	0	1	2
	1	3	1	4	79
	2	2	0	3	25
	3	3	1	1	26
	4	0	1	0	10
	5	0	0	0	3
	6	1	0	0	3
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	2
Total		9	4	9	151

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	62.434 ^a	48	.079
Likelihood Ratio	49.183	48	.426
Linear-by-Linear Association	.612	1	.434
N of Valid Cases	151		

a. 56 cells (88.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



**How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ? * Categ
ory of Total livestock Unit**

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	3	0	0	0
	1	21	25	3	1
	2	8	9	3	0
	3	11	6	2	1
	4	2	4	0	1
	5	2	1	1	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		47	47	9	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did the increase in food prod. costs happen ?	0	0	0	1	4
	1	1	4	7	62
	2	4	1	6	31
	3	1	0	1	22
	4	0	1	0	8
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	2
Total		7	6	16	135

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.139 ^a	42	.422
Likelihood Ratio	41.311	42	.501
Linear-by-Linear Association	.250	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	135		

a. 49 cells (87.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	15	5	0	4
	2	0	1	1	0
	3	1	2	1	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	9	0	1	0	0
Total		18	9	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did cut-off decrease on gov. grants happen ?	0	1	1	3
	1	1	2	27
	2	0	0	2
	3	0	0	4
	6	0	0	1
	9	0	0	1
Total		2	3	38

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.416 ^a	25	.209
Likelihood Ratio	25.168	25	.453
Linear-by-Linear Association	.950	1	.330
N of Valid Cases	38		

a. 34 cells (94.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did flood happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did flood happen ?	0	2	0	0	0
	1	7	8	2	1
	2	0	1	0	0
Total		9	9	2	1

Crosstab

Count


		Category of...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did flood happen ?	0	1	3
	1	3	21
	2	0	1
Total		4	25

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.762 ^a	8	.783
Likelihood Ratio	6.170	8	.628
Linear-by-Linear Association	.191	1	.662
N of Valid Cases	25		

a. 13 cells (86.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.



How often did tornado-storm-cyclone happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	1	0	0	0
	1	14	13	4	2
	2	0	2	0	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	11	1	0	0	0
Total		16	17	4	2

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	Total
How often did tornado- storm-cyclone happen ?	0	0	1	0	2
	1	1	2	2	38
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	1
Total		1	3	2	45

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.823 ^a	24	.894
Likelihood Ratio	15.082	24	.918
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.063	1	.302
N of Valid Cases	45		

a. 33 cells (94.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did drought happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did drought happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	27	30	10	6
	2	2	4	0	1
	3	3	2	0	0
	4	1	0	0	0
	6	1	0	0	0
	8	0	1	0	0
	12	0	1	0	0
	20	0	0	0	0
Total		36	39	10	7

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did drought happen ?	0	0	1	1	5
	1	6	6	8	93
	2	0	0	0	7
	3	0	1	0	6
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	1
	8	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	1	2
	20	0	0	1	1
Total		6	8	11	117

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.709 ^a	48	.955
Likelihood Ratio	30.956	48	.973
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.470	1	.116
N of Valid Cases	117		

a. 57 cells (90.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did illness happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did illness happen ?	0	3	2	0	0
	1	32	14	4	5
	2	5	5	0	1
	3	1	2	1	0
	4	5	3	0	0
	5	1	1	0	0
	6	1	2	1	0
	12	10	5	2	0
Total		58	34	8	6

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did illness happen ?	0	0	0	1	6
	1	2	1	5	63
	2	1	0	0	12
	3	0	0	0	4
	4	1	0	1	10
	5	1	0	0	3
	6	0	0	0	4
	12	2	2	0	21
Total		7	3	7	123

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.468 ^a	42	.883
Likelihood Ratio	33.277	42	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.938
N of Valid Cases	123		

a. 51 cells (91.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss jobs happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	25	12	2	3
	2	1	1	0	0
	3	2	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	0
	6	1	1	0	0
Total		30	17	2	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss jobs happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	46
	2	0	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	3
	4	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	0	2
Total		1	2	1	56

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.253 ^a	30	1.000
Likelihood Ratio	6.648	30	1.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.356	1	.551
N of Valid Cases	56		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .02.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss remittances happen ? * Category of Total live stock Unit

Crosstab

Count		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	1	0	0	2
	1	6	4	1	1
	2	1	3	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0
	4	0	1	0	1
	6	1	0	1	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0
	12	2	1	0	0
Total		12	10	2	4

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit		Total
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss remittances happen ?	0	0	0	3
	1	1	0	13
	2	0	0	4
	3	0	0	1
	4	0	0	2
	6	0	0	2
	8	0	0	1
	10	0	1	1
	12	0	2	5
Total		1	3	32

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	45.826 ^a	40	.243
Likelihood Ratio	37.154	40	.599
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.174	1	.075
N of Valid Cases	32		

a. 54 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did loss of possessions happen ? * Category of Total Livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	1	1	0	0
	1	17	10	3	2
	2	5	3	1	0
	3	0	2	0	0
	4	1	1	0	0
	5	1	0	0	0
	6	0	0	0	0
Total		25	17	4	2

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did loss of possessions happen ?	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	4	5	42
	2	2	0	1	12
	3	1	1	1	5
	4	0	0	0	2
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	2	2
Total		4	5	9	66

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.114 ^a	36	.823
Likelihood Ratio	27.083	36	.858
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.200	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	66		

a. 46 cells (93.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did death of many livestock happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	2	1	0	0
	1	1	13	6	1
	2	0	3	2	1
	3	0	3	1	0
	4	0	2	0	0
	5	0	1	0	0
	6	0	1	0	0
	7	0	0	0	0
	8	0	0	1	1
	11	0	1	1	0
	12	0	0	0	0
	14	0	0	0	0
Total		3	25	11	3

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did death of many livestock happen ?	0	0	0	0	3
	1	2	4	4	31
	2	2	0	4	12
	3	1	1	0	6
	4	0	1	2	5
	5	0	0	0	1
	6	0	0	1	2
	7	0	0	1	1
	8	0	0	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	0	0	1	1
	14	0	1	0	1
Total		5	7	13	67

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	75.483 ^a	66	.199
Likelihood Ratio	52.752	66	.881
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.264	1	.132
N of Valid Cases	67		

a. 81 cells (96.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How often did food crops or food prices happen ? * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

Count

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	0	0
	1	41	27	6	5
	2	54	45	9	3
	3	29	18	2	3
	4	8	11	1	0
	5	2	2	0	0
	6	5	3	0	0
	8	1	0	0	0
	11	0	1	0	1
	12	4	2	0	0
	24	1	0	0	0
Total		145	109	18	12

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How often did food crops or food prices happen ?	0	0	0	1	1
	1	2	6	5	92
	2	12	8	8	139
	3	1	0	6	59
	4	2	1	0	23
	5	0	0	0	4
	6	1	1	1	11
	8	0	1	0	2
	11	0	0	0	2
	12	1	1	0	8
	24	0	0	0	1
Total		19	18	21	342

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.684 ^a	60	.286
Likelihood Ratio	53.002	60	.727
Linear-by-Linear Association	.166	1	.684
N of Valid Cases	342		

a. 63 cells (81.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



How many family members died in the past year * Category of Total livestock Unit

Crosstab

		Category of Total livestock Unit			
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
How many family members died in the past year	0	2	0	0	0
	1	26	15	1	3
	2	2	0	1	1
	3	2	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	1	0	0
Total		32	16	2	5

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit

Crosstab

Count


		Category of Total livestock Unit			Total
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	more than 10 total livestock units	
How many family members died in the past year	0	0	0	0	2
	1	4	4	3	56
	2	0	1	1	6
	3	0	0	0	2
	7	0	0	0	1
	12	0	0	0	1
Total		4	5	4	68

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.796 ^a	30	.476
Likelihood Ratio	22.640	30	.830
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.742
N of Valid Cases	68		

a. 40 cells (95.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

“ Nbr DIFFERENT STRESS OCCURRENCES BY total livestock unit



“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	180	30.1%	419	69.9%	599	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.1%
Total		Count	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.4%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling livestock	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total		Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
selling livestock	No	Count	11	146
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.8%	81.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.3%	18.9%
Total		Count	16	180
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.691 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.228	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.529	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	180		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.70.

“SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... 0 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
selling assets	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ SELL ASSESTS BY total livestock units”

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
selling assets	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

selling assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
selling assets	No	Count	15	169
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	96.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	3.4%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.677 ^a	6	.720
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	.680	1	.410
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	175	29.2%	424	70.8%	599	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	62	34
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	79.5%	87.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.5%	12.8%
Total		Count	78	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
use savings	No	Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ USE SAVINGS BY total livestock units”

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
use savings	No	Count	8	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	15.4%
Total		Count	10	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
use savings	No	Count	15	149
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	85.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	26
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	14.9%
Total		Count	16	175
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.565 ^a	6	.363
Likelihood Ratio	9.396	6	.152
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.987	1	.159
N of Valid Cases	175		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.34.

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	179	29.9%	420	70.1%	599	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	35
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.2%
Total		Count	81
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	22
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.0%
Total		Count	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FROM FAMILY BY total livestock units”

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from family friends	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from family friends * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from family friends	No	Count	106
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	73
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.8%
Total	Count		179
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.955 ^a	6	.428
Likelihood Ratio	6.285	6	.392
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.356	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	179		

a. 3 cells (21.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.67.

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.0%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.6%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%
Total		Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from mashonisa * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
borrow from mashonisa	No	Count	162
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total		Count	174
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW MASHONISA BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.123 ^a	6	.793
Likelihood Ratio	4.217	6	.647
Linear-by-Linear Association	.296	1	.586
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .62.

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit	174	29.0%	425	71.0%	599	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			0 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	75
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	78
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.3%
Total		Count	38
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ BORROW FORMAL BY total livestock units”

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	87.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	12.5%
Total	Count		16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

**borrow from formal institutions * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Total
borrow from formal institutions	No	Count	164
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.7%
Total	Count		174
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.396 ^a	6	.758
Likelihood Ratio	3.553	6	.737
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.376	1	.241
N of Valid Cases	174		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	65	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%	62.0%
	Yes	Count	37	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%	38.0%
Total	Count		102	50
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	2	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		9	10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	72.7%	69.2%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.3%	30.8%
Total	Count		11	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
coping strategy borrow food from relatives or friends	No	Count	16	142
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	2	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	33.3%
Total	Count		18	213
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.807 ^a	6	.445
Likelihood Ratio	6.640	6	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.524	1	.060
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.00.

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
additional work	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ TAKE ADDITIONAL WORK BY total livestock units”

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
additional work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

additional work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...		
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total	
additional work	No	Count	17	196
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%	92.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%	7.5%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.220 ^a	6	.516
Likelihood Ratio	4.939	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	.088	1	.767
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .68.

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	97
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.1%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.9%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	47
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...
			more than 10 total livestock units
migrate to find work	No	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.6%
Total		Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

migrate to find work * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
migrate to find work	No	Count	204
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%
Total		Count	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ MIGATE TO FIND WORK BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.214 ^a	6	.899
Likelihood Ratio	3.786	6	.706
Linear-by-Linear Association	.473	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit	213	35.6%	386	64.4%	599	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	67
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.0%
Total		Count	103
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	67.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	32.7%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	60.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.0%
Total	Count		10
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.2%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ REDUCE SPENDING BY total livestock units”

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce spending	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce spending * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce spending	No	Count	15	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	83.3%	69.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	16.7%	31.0%
Total		Count	18	213
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.474 ^a	6	.613
Likelihood Ratio	4.779	6	.572
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.190	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	213		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.79.

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.7%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	37
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.3%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
reduce food consumption	No	Count	30
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	19
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.8%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		77.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		22.2%
Total		Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		80.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		20.0%
Total		Count
		10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		81.8%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		18.2%
Total		Count
		11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ... Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		69.2%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		30.8%
Total		Count
		13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

“ REDUCE CONSUMPTION BY total livestock units”

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Category of ...
		more than 10 total livestock units
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		83.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		16.7%
Total		Count
		18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

**reduce food consumption * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

		Total
reduce food consumption	No	Count
		143
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		67.5%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count
		69
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		32.5%
Total		Count
		212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit
		100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.786 ^a	6	.448
Likelihood Ratio	6.216	6	.399
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.904	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.93.

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	99	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.1%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.9%	0.0%
Total		Count	102	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	8	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	90.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	10.0%
Total		Count	9	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ REDUCE DEBT REPAYMENTS BY total livestock units”

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
reduce loan	No	Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	11	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

reduce loan * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
reduce loan	No	Count	18	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	97.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	2.4%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.878 ^a	6	.247
Likelihood Ratio	7.503	6	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.291	1	.589
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit	212	35.4%	387	64.6%	599	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		0 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.4%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	20
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.6%
Total		Count	102
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of ...	
		Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units	
receive gifts	No	Count	46
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.1%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	30.0%
Total		Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.9%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.1%
Total		Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE GIFT BY total livestock units”

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
receive gifts	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%
Total		Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

receive gifts * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
receive gifts	No	Count	18	183
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	86.3%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	13.7%
Total		Count	18	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.169 ^a	6	.058
Likelihood Ratio	15.601	6	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.820	1	.093
N of Valid Cases	212		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit	210	35.1%	389	64.9%	599	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			0 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	98
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	97.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.0%
Total		Count	101
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total		Count	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		9
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ... Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...
			more than 10 total livestock units
received counselling	No	Count	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%
Total	Count		18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

received counselling * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Total
received counselling	No	Count	207
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.6%
	Yes, I adopt this strategy	Count	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.4%
Total	Count		210
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“ RECEIVE COUNSELLING BY total livestock units”

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.285 ^a	6	.772
Likelihood Ratio	4.439	6	.618
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.633	1	.201
N of Valid Cases	210		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .13.

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit	381	63.6%	218	36.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	98	56
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	49.5%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	100	40
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	50.5%	41.7%
Total	Count		198	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	5	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	9	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		14	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ EAT LESS PREFERRED FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	10	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%	61.1%
	Yes	Count	6	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%	38.9%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by eating less preferred food	No	Count	16	202
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	53.0%
	Yes	Count	10	179
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	47.0%
Total	Count		26	381
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.813 ^a	6	.444
Likelihood Ratio	5.850	6	.440
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.775	1	.183
N of Valid Cases	381		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.11.

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	105	57
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.4%	61.3%
	Yes	Count	88	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.6%	38.7%
Total	Count		193	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	7	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	46.2%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	53.8%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“REDUCE FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	55.6%
	Yes	Count	4	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	44.4%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by reducing food intake	No	Count	19	215
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.1%	57.6%
	Yes	Count	7	158
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.9%	42.4%
Total	Count		26	373
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.860 ^a	6	.334
Likelihood Ratio	7.055	6	.316
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.316	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.51.

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit	386	64.4%	213	35.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	129	66
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.5%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	65	33
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.5%	33.3%
Total	Count		194	99
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	75.0%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	4	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.0%	33.3%
Total	Count		16	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BUY FOOD ON CREDIT BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	11	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	57.9%
	Yes	Count	6	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	42.1%
Total	Count		17	19
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by buying food on credit	No	Count	17	256
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.4%	66.3%
	Yes	Count	9	130
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.6%	33.7%
Total	Count		26	386
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.182 ^a	6	.978
Likelihood Ratio	1.190	6	.977
Linear-by-Linear Association	.176	1	.675
N of Valid Cases	386		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.05.

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit	373	62.3%	226	37.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	109	49
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	55.9%	52.7%
	Yes	Count	86	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	44.1%	47.3%
Total	Count		195	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.9%	41.7%
	Yes	Count	8	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.1%	58.3%
Total	Count		14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“ BORROW FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	9	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%	17.6%
Total		Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing food	No	Count	16	206
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	61.5%	55.2%
	Yes	Count	10	167
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	38.5%	44.8%
Total		Count	26	373
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.367 ^a	6	.212
Likelihood Ratio	8.895	6	.180
Linear-by-Linear Association	.683	1	.409
N of Valid Cases	373		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.37.

"EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	189	82
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	91.1%
	yes	Count	2	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	191	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"EXCHANGE TYPE OF FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by exchange one type of food for another	no	Count	25	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	96.7%
	yes	Count	1	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	3.3%
Total		Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.173 ^a	6	.028
Likelihood Ratio	13.758	6	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.868
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit	364	60.8%	235	39.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	185	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.9%	95.6%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.1%	4.4%
Total	Count		191	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“CONSUME SEED STOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by consumption seed stock	No	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	0	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.3%
Total		Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.338 ^a	6	.765
Likelihood Ratio	4.773	6	.573
Linear-by-Linear Association	.376	1	.540
N of Valid Cases	364		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .40.

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	369	61.6%	230	38.4%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	174	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.6%	93.5%
	Yes	Count	18	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.4%	6.5%
Total	Count		192	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	Yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO EAT ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.4%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.6%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to eat elsewhere	No	Count	25	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	92.1%
	Yes	Count	1	29
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	7.9%
Total		Count	26	369
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.843 ^a	6	.698
Likelihood Ratio	4.933	6	.552
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.367	1	.242
N of Valid Cases	369		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit	366	61.1%	233	38.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	180	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.8%	93.4%
	Yes	Count	12	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.3%	6.6%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	83.3%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	16.7%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“SEND MEMBERS TO BEG BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by sending members to beg	No	Count	25	343
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	1	23
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	6.3%
Total	Count		26	366
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.354 ^a	6	.763
Likelihood Ratio	3.566	6	.735
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861
N of Valid Cases	366		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .75.

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit	396	66.1%	203	33.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	119	60
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.8%	59.4%
	Yes	Count	87	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.2%	40.6%
Total	Count		206	101
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	11	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.7%	58.3%
	Yes	Count	6	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.3%	41.7%
Total	Count		17	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“LIMIT OR REDUCE PORTION SIZE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.0%	77.8%
	Yes	Count	3	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	20.0%	22.2%
Total		Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by limiting or reductin portion size	No	Count	21	244
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	61.6%
	Yes	Count	6	152
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	38.4%
Total		Count	27	396
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.736 ^a	6	.189
Likelihood Ratio	9.336	6	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.623	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	396		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.61.

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	151	76
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.4%	82.6%
	Yes	Count	44	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.6%	17.4%
Total	Count		195	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	66.7%
	Yes	Count	5	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	33.3%
Total	Count		15	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RESTRICT CONSUMP IN FAVOUR OF CHILDREN BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	14	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	82.4%
	Yes	Count	1	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	17.6%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by restricting consumption in favour of children	No	Count	22	295
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	84.6%	79.3%
	Yes	Count	4	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	15.4%	20.7%
Total	Count		26	372
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.995 ^a	6	.424
Likelihood Ratio	6.250	6	.396
Linear-by-Linear Association	.921	1	.337
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.48.

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	189	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.4%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	3	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.6%	0.0%
Total	Count		192	90
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“FEED WORKING AT EXPENSE NONWORKING BY total livestock uni

food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by feeding working members at the expense of non working members	No	Count	26	362
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	99.2%
	Yes	Count	0	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.8%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.726 ^a	6	.842
Likelihood Ratio	3.877	6	.693
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.316	1	.251
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit	367	61.3%	232	38.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	190	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.0%	94.5%
	Yes	Count	2	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	1.0%	5.5%
Total		Count	192	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“RATION TO BUY READY-TO-EAT FOOD BY total livestock

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.9%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.1%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by ration money to buy ready to eat food	No	Count	24	354
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	96.5%
	Yes	Count	2	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	3.5%
Total	Count		26	367
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.870 ^a	6	.092
Likelihood Ratio	10.819	6	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.551	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	367		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	160	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.5%	82.8%
	yes	Count	34	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.5%	17.2%
Total	Count		194	93
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	11	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.3%	76.9%
	yes	Count	4	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.7%	23.1%
Total	Count		15	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“SKIP MEALS FOR AN ENTIRE DAY BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	14	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by skipping meals for entire day	no	Count	21	309
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	83.1%
	yes	Count	5	63
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	16.9%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.839 ^a	6	.441
Likelihood Ratio	8.436	6	.208
Linear-by-Linear Association	.521	1	.470
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.20.

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit	365	60.9%	234	39.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	183	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.3%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.7%	2.2%
Total	Count		192	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“GATHER WILD FOOD BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	13	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.9%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.1%	0.0%
Total	Count		14	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by gathering wild food	no	Count	26	352
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	96.4%
	yes	Count	0	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	3.6%
Total	Count		26	365
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.588 ^a	6	.598
Likelihood Ratio	6.268	6	.394
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.119	1	.290
N of Valid Cases	365		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit	415	69.3%	184	30.7%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	97
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.1%
	Yes	Count	118
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.9%
Total	Count	215	102
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	56.3%
	Yes	Count	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	43.8%
Total	Count	16	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“ASKED FOR HELP BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit		
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.8%	36.4%
	Yes	Count	7	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	41.2%	63.6%
Total	Count	17	22	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by asking neighbours family relatives for help	No	Count	14	203
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	46.7%	48.9%
	Yes	Count	16	212
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	53.3%	51.1%
Total		Count	30	415
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.407 ^a	6	.379
Likelihood Ratio	6.437	6	.376
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.948
N of Valid Cases	415		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.36.

“FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit	374	62.4%	225	37.6%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	179	85
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.4%	93.4%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.6%	6.6%
Total	Count		198	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	12	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	84.6%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	15.4%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"FOUND EXTRA INCOME SOURCES OR USE SAVINGS BY total livest

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	11.1%
Total	Count		15	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by found extra income sources or use savings	no	Count	21	338
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	80.8%	90.4%
	yes	Count	5	36
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	19.2%	9.6%
Total	Count		26	374
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.468 ^a	6	.614
Likelihood Ratio	3.970	6	.681
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.595	1	.207
N of Valid Cases	374		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.25.

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	193	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		197	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HOUSEHOLD MOVED ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit		
		Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	Yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	15	17	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by household members moved elsewhere	No	Count	26	365
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.769 ^a	6	.940
Likelihood Ratio	3.076	6	.799
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.380	1	.240
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	192	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	2.2%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"SOLD HOUSEHOLD ASSETS BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	14	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	93.3%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	6.7%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling household assets * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, coping by selling household assets	No	Count	25	360
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	97.3%
	Yes	Count	1	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	2.7%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.902 ^a	6	.690
Likelihood Ratio	3.351	6	.764
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.750	1	.186
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .32.

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit	377	62.9%	222	37.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	no	Count	195
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	99.5%
	yes	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.5%
Total	Count		196
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping by selling livestock	no	Count	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%
	yes	Count	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%
Total	Count		12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%

“SOLD LIVESTOCK BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	62.5%
	yes	Count	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.5%
Total	Count	16	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	

food availability problem, coping by selling livestock * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of...	
		more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by selling livestock	no	Count	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.0%
	yes	Count	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	37.0%
Total	Count	27	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.498 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	72.043	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	85.238	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	377		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit	372	62.1%	227	37.9%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	176	83
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	89.3%	90.2%
	yes	Count	21	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	10.7%	9.8%
Total	Count		197	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	10	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.4%	81.8%
	yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.6%	18.2%
Total	Count		14	11
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“WORKED FOR PAYMENT IN KIND BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	15	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	88.2%
	yes	Count	0	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	11.8%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by working for payment in kind	no	Count	25	333
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	89.5%
	yes	Count	1	39
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	10.5%
Total		Count	26	372
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.637 ^a	6	.195
Likelihood Ratio	9.068	6	.170
Linear-by-Linear Association	.607	1	.436
N of Valid Cases	372		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	177	86
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	90.3%	93.5%
	yes	Count	19	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	9.7%	6.5%
Total	Count		196	92
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	83.3%
	yes	Count	1	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	16.7%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“APPEAL FOR FOOD AID BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total	Count		15	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by appeal for food aid	no	Count	24	340
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	91.6%
	yes	Count	2	31
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	8.4%
Total	Count		26	371
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.471 ^a	6	.748
Likelihood Ratio	4.524	6	.606
Linear-by-Linear Association	.495	1	.482
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.00.

“DEPENDEN ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit	371	61.9%	228	38.1%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	186	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.9%	96.7%
	yes	Count	10	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	196	92
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	13	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	91.7%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	8.3%
Total		Count	13	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“DEPENDENT ON CHARITY/ WELFARE BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	15	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	94.1%
	yes	Count	0	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	5.9%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by charity/welfare	no	Count	25	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	96.2%	95.7%
	yes	Count	1	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	3.8%	4.3%
Total		Count	26	371
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.390 ^a	6	.881
Likelihood Ratio	3.496	6	.744
Linear-by-Linear Association	.128	1	.720
N of Valid Cases	371		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

“BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit	382	63.8%	217	36.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	147
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	73.5%
	yes	Count	53
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	26.5%
Total	Count	200	96
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

		Category of Total livestock Unit	
		Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	64.3%
	yes	Count	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	35.7%
Total	Count	14	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

"BORROWED MONEY FOR FOOD BY total livestock units"

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	13	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	81.3%	88.9%
	yes	Count	3	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	18.8%	11.1%
Total	Count		16	18
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by borrowing money for food	no	Count	20	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	76.7%
	yes	Count	6	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	23.3%
Total	Count		26	382
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.201 ^a	6	.401
Likelihood Ratio	6.710	6	.349
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.604	1	.205
N of Valid Cases	382		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	192	90
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	98.0%	98.9%
	yes	Count	4	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.0%	1.1%
Total	Count		196	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	12	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	92.3%	100.0%
	yes	Count	1	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	7.7%	0.0%
Total	Count		13	12
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“TOOK CHILDREN OUT OF SCHOOL BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total		Count	15	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
food availability problem, coping by taking children out of school	no	Count	26	364
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	98.4%
	yes	Count	0	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	26	370
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.529 ^a	6	.606
Likelihood Ratio	4.249	6	.643
Linear-by-Linear Association	.919	1	.338
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit	370	61.8%	229	38.2%	599	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	185	89
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	95.4%	97.8%
	yes	Count	9	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	4.6%	2.2%
Total	Count		194	91
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	10	12
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	76.9%	92.3%
	yes	Count	3	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	23.1%	7.7%
Total	Count		13	13
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“COULD NOT DO ANYTHING BY total livestock units”

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%
	yes	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count		16	17
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

food availability problem, no coping strategies used * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
food availability problem, no coping strategies used	no	Count	26	355
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	95.9%
	yes	Count	0	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	4.1%
Total	Count		26	370
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.006 ^a	6	.014
Likelihood Ratio	12.377	6	.054
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.164	1	.281
N of Valid Cases	370		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .53.

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	150	65
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	65.5%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	79	50
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	34.5%	43.5%
Total	Count		229	115
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	14	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	77.8%	60.0%
	Yes	Count	4	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	22.2%	40.0%
Total	Count		18	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY MOSTLY ON NEIGHBOURS BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
Total	Count		18	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?

*** Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Neighbours mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	28	293
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	82.4%	65.1%
	Yes	Count	6	157
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	17.6%	34.9%
Total	Count		34	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.032 ^a	6	.123
Likelihood Ratio	10.548	6	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.382	1	.066
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.23.

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	545	91.0%	54	9.0%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	59	44
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.9%	30.8%
	Yes	Count	211	99
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.1%	69.2%
Total	Count		270	143
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	8	3
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.6%	18.8%
	Yes	Count	19	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.4%	81.3%
Total	Count		27	16
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES IN THE AREA BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	6	6
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	19.4%
	Yes	Count	13	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	80.6%
Total	Count		19	31
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family in area mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	11	137
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	28.2%	25.1%
	Yes	Count	28	408
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	71.8%	74.9%
Total	Count		39	545
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.760 ^a	6	.451
Likelihood Ratio	5.723	6	.455
Linear-by-Linear Association	.301	1	.583
N of Valid Cases	545		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.02.

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	450	75.1%	149	24.9%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	173	95
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	74.2%	86.4%
	Yes	Count	60	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	25.8%	13.6%
Total	Count		233	110
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	12	14
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.6%	93.3%
	Yes	Count	5	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	29.4%	6.7%
Total	Count		17	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“RELY ON RELATIVES ELSEWHERE BY total livestock units”

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	18
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	78.9%	90.0%
	Yes	Count	4	2
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	21.1%	10.0%
Total	Count		19	20
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Relatives/ family elsewhere mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	32	359
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.9%	79.8%
	Yes	Count	4	91
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.1%	20.2%
Total	Count		36	450
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.127 ^a	6	.041
Likelihood Ratio	14.049	6	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.800	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	450		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.03.

“RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit	436	72.8%	163	27.2%	599	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	204	96
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.1%	89.7%
	Yes	Count	20	11
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.9%	10.3%
Total	Count		224	107
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	15	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	88.2%	92.9%
	Yes	Count	2	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	11.8%	7.1%
Total	Count		17	14
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

"RELY MOSTLY ON CHURCH BY total livestock units"

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	16	17
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	94.1%	81.0%
	Yes	Count	1	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	5.9%	19.0%
Total	Count		17	21
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times? *
Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	Total
			more than 10 total livestock units	
Do your household members rely on Church mostly in difficult times?	No	Count	33	394
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	91.7%	90.4%
	Yes	Count	3	42
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	8.3%	9.6%
Total	Count		36	436
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.850 ^a	6	.827
Likelihood Ratio	2.474	6	.871
Linear-by-Linear Association	.167	1	.682
N of Valid Cases	436		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.35.

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit	487	81.3%	112	18.7%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	104	48
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.6%	37.8%
	Yes	Count	140	79
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	57.4%	62.2%
Total		Count	244	127
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	7
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	45.5%	46.7%
	Yes	Count	12	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	54.5%	53.3%
Total		Count	22	15
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH FOOD BY total livestock units”

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	10	8
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	52.6%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	9	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	47.4%	66.7%
Total		Count	19	24
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

**Do they mainly provide help with Food? * Category of Total livestock Unit
Crosstabulation**

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Food?	No	Count	23	210
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	63.9%	43.1%
	Yes	Count	13	277
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	36.1%	56.9%
Total		Count	36	487
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.588 ^a	6	.143
Likelihood Ratio	9.562	6	.144
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.064	1	.080
N of Valid Cases	487		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.47.

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit	490	81.8%	109	18.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	101	52
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	42.3%
	Yes	Count	146	71
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	57.7%
Total	Count		247	123
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	9	5
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	40.9%	33.3%
	Yes	Count	13	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	59.1%	66.7%
Total	Count		22	15
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

“HELP WITH MONEY BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Liverstock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	6	16
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	31.6%	64.0%
	Yes	Count	13	9
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	68.4%	36.0%
Total		Count	19	25
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Money? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Money?	No	Count	20	209
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	51.3%	42.7%
	Yes	Count	19	281
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	48.7%	57.3%
Total		Count	39	490
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.678 ^a	6	.263
Likelihood Ratio	7.656	6	.264
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.440	1	.118
N of Valid Cases	490		

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.40.

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Valid		Cases Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit	466	77.8%	133	22.2%	599	100.0%

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			0 total Livestock units	Between 0 and 1 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	138	77
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	58.0%	65.3%
	Yes	Count	100	41
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	42.0%	34.7%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	238	118	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 1 and 3 total Livestock units	Between 3 and 5 total Livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	71.4%
	Yes	Count	6	4
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	28.6%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	18	14	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of Total livestock Unit	
			Between 5 and 7 total Livestock units	Between 7 and 10 total livestock units
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	12	13
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	66.7%	56.5%
	Yes	Count	6	10
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	33.3%	43.5%
	5	Count	0	0
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	18	23	
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit	100.0%	100.0%	

“HELP WITH COUNCELING BY total livestock units”

Do they mainly provide help with Counselling? * Category of Total livestock Unit Crosstabulation

			Category of ...	
			more than 10 total livestock units	Total
Do they mainly provide help with Counselling?	No	Count	26	288
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	70.3%	61.8%
	Yes	Count	10	177
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	27.0%	38.0%
	5	Count	1	1
		% within Category of Total livestock Unit	2.7%	0.2%
Total	Count		37	466
	% within Category of Total livestock Unit		100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.493 ^a	12	.170
Likelihood Ratio	10.083	12	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.109	1	.741
N of Valid Cases	466		

a. 7 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.